Volume IID, Section 5: Public Consultation

Attachment 4: Communication Logs with those Residing Outside 1.5 km

TABLE OF CONTENTS

LIST OF ATTACHMENTS

Communication Logs with those Residing Outside 1.5 km
Additional Communications

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov. 24 at 9:43 am - LM						left detailed message and my cell number
#1	Nov. 25 at 2:17 pm - LM						left detailed message and my cell number
	Nov. 25 at 5:09 pm	XXX returned my calls - received package	N/A	N/A	N/A	none	MOVING so no longer any issues or concerns with project
#2	Nov. 24 at 9:46 am - LM						left detailed message and my cell number
#12	Nov. 25 at 2:19 pm - LM						left detailed message and my cell number
	Nov. 24 at 9:49 am	package was received, have not had the time to review in any great detail					XXX lives with XXX While he has concerns, she is the person who has taken a lead in the family on this project so okay to communicate through her.
#3	Nov. 24 at	package was received, have not had the time to review in any great detail	XXX has a number of questions - she will email them to me		Open Houses do not work for her. She has three children and she is on the go all the time. Too, Open Houses do not facilitate getting her questions answered. She is interested in newsletters XXX and she is also interested in the advisory committee	1) email XXX your contact info so she can email her questions back - complete 2) once having received questions, get answers and get back to her - complete and 3) contact re: process meeting as she is interested in the advisory committee - complete 4) add her to the beyond 1.5 stakeholder list - complete	
	Dec. 6 & 7						email communication from XXX - see Communication Record

Stakeholder Name	Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#4	Nov. 24 at 10:21 am - wrong phone number						
	Nov. 24 at 10:22 am - no answer						no answering machine
	Nov. 25 - no answer						no answering machine
		spoke with XXX - did receive package					spoke with XXX about the proposed project - he suggested that I F/U with XXX as she is the person that has been writing the letters and taking the lead on sharing concerns etc - best time to reach her today would be around 2:00 pm
	Nov. 25 at 2:20 pm	but did not really go through it in any kind of	no questions at this time but took may name and number and indicated that I would probably hear from her	many, many issues - health and safety (air, soil, plants, water, fire, 'dumping ground', too close to town) and property values. Also very discouraged and distrustful of Hazco - came in and said one thing and then changed it to something else	Very busy and feels that she cannot participate too actively. Attended the Open Houses and would attend any future Open Houses. Would like to be kept informed - on the distribution list		bottom line is there is no way she will accept this development

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#7	Nov. 24 at 10:45 am	believes information package was received but will confirm with me if she needs another package mailed. Husband works for XXX etc - she doesn't understand any of this technical info so defers to her husband		will give her husband the message to advise me if there are any additional concerns or issues not already noted in the stakeholder concern document / ToR	both she and her husband are so busy - Open Houses not very effective as often the timing doesn't work for them - really likes the idea of newsletter updates		Gave her my email and cell number. She is neighbours and good friends with XXX - had dinner with him last night - misinformation re: relationship between Hazco and XXX
#8		did receive the package and did read it	does not have any questions	many many issues which have already been documented - ER - too close to town, quality of life, soil contamination, will eventually kill the two towns as no one will want to live there	nothing will change her mind - keep on distribution list so she receives info but not interested in advisory committee etc		XXX has a broken leg. She said that no additional studies being done or any further consultation will change how she feels - the proposed facility is too close to town.
#9	Nov. 25 at	did receive the package but has not gone through it	two questions related to issues - Will Hazco be covering the difference in property values if indeed the plant causes land value to decrease? - and - how will the company manage air quality when the wind is unpredictable (blows in numerous directions)?	many , many issues - property values, air quality, smells, rail traffic, derailment - emergency response, too close to town, environment, soils	has never attended the Open Houses - times offered are terribly inconvenient (has kids and works) - very angry in that Hazco interprets low turnout as acceptance of the project - would attend an Open House on a Saturday - would come to a process meeting (would like to be invited) - the 9th works best	information; invite to advisory committee meeting	feel XXX was grateful that someone really listened - her tone with me changed substantially from the beginning of the call to the end of the call

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#10		did receive package and has gone through it - scanned	Why does their county have to be stuck with this proposed development - why have other counties been able to refuse?	numerous issues - health and safety, oxidation of soils, air (XXX will revisit to ToR and make sure all his concerns are noted somewhere in the document)	house - does not attend Open	distribution list for information	
#11	Nov. 25 at 3:15 pm - no answer						no answering machine
	Dec. 2 - no answer						no answering machine
#12	Nov. 25 at 3:17 pm - LM						left cell number and detailed message
	Nov. 28 - no answer						did not leave another message
#13	1) Nov. 25 at 3: 20 - LM with						used XXX address on letter to AENV - can't locate phone number so called XXX and LM if he would provide phone number
	XXX; 2) Nov. 27 at & 13 pm - did not						used XXX address on letter to AENV - can't locate phone number so called XXX and LM if he would provide phone number
#15	leave message - see comments column						used XXX address on letter to AENV - can't locate phone number so called XXX and LM if he would provide phone number

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#16	Nov. 25 at 3:25 pm						called 780-231-0693 - gentleman answered and asked how I would have gotten this number for XXX (it is her cell number) He took my name and cell number and said the best process for me talking with XXX would be for her to call me and then I call her back to avoid long distance charges - he did not want to give me her home number.
#17	Nov. 25 at 3:32 pm - LM						left detailed message and cell number
	Nov. 28 - no answer						did not leave another message
14 I X		did not receive the package (or don't recall) - do not wish one to be mailed out to them	no questions	no issues or concerns about the project			spoke with the wife - she would not provide her name - she was speaking with her husband XXX while she was on the phone with me and so these comments reflect both their points of view.
44 1 9	3:39 pm		no questions at this time - she will review the ToR to see if there are any additional issues or concerns that need to be included	did not discuss - wants me to call back in about 1 week		1) F/U with phone re: ToR and issues in one week and 2) F/U re: advisory committee meeting	

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Dec. 2 at 2:30 pm - LM						Left message re: Following up to see if she had questions and/or additional concerns or issues as well as to let her know we are still connecting with people, still assessing if advisory committee is something the community really wants and because of this and because of Christmas etc, not moving forward with Dec 6, 7 or 9th
#20	Nov. 25 at	has received package but has not gone through it	no questions at this time - she will review the ToR to see if there are any additional issues or concerns that need to be included	she took my name and cell number and will contact me	interested in the advisory committee - recommends we stay away from the 9th as there is a bunch of Xmas stuff happening (4H club party, Hamper Fund Raiser, party at the hospital) - 6th or 7th work best for her	F/U re: advisory committee meeting	
	Dec 2 at 2:15 pm - LM						Left message re: Following up to let her know we are still connecting with people, still assessing if advisory committee is something the community really wants and because of this and because of Christmas etc, not moving forward with Dec 6, 7 or 9th
#21	Nov. 25 at 4:02 pm - child answered - did not LM						

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#22	1: 05 pm	did receive package but did not go through it in any great detail	Canexus - mixture of chemicals - what would be the impact	many issues - health and safety, too close to populated towns, road traffic as he has challenges as it is trying to get his farm equipment on the road, train traffic	interested in the advisory committee but not available any of the days mentioned - wants us to talk with his dad to be on the committee (XXX)	F/U re: advisory committee just in case he becomes available	very friendly - thinks I should come up and see the area and where the plant is proposed to go etc
#23	Nov 25 at 4: 32 pm	received package but did not go through it	no questions	issues - safety of community and odours	would attend an Open House - not interested in the advisory committee - keep on distribution list	keep on distribution list	
#24	Nov. 25 at 4:45 pm - no answer						no answering machine
1721	Dec. 2 1:35 pm - no answer						no answering machine
	Nov. 25 at 4:48 pm - LM					call Monday evening	
#25	Nov. 27 at 7:15 pm - no answer did not						
	leave message Dec. 2 at 2:40 - LM						left detailed message and cell number
#26	Dec. 5 - no answer						did not leave another message
	Dec. 2 at 2:55 - LM						left detailed message and cell number
#27	Dec. 5 - no answer						did not leave another message

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#28	Nov. 27 at 7:30 - no answer						no answering machine
	Dec. 2 at 2:43 pm - no answer						no answering machine
#29	3:08 pm	spoke with XXX - she shared that they had received package of info but threw it away - did not read it - is not interested in reading it	no questions - strongly opposed the project	did not review the information to see if concerns and issues were addressed in the ToR - not interested in talking about it	no interested in talking about this project - does not want to receive any more information about this project	remove from mailing list	
#30		and committed to	three questions that he wants someone to F/U with him on 1) what are the regulatory timelines? 2) will he be notified when the hearing starts? and 3) did Hazco attempt to put this project in other geographical areas? - If yes why did they not stay there? Why are they proposing this current location?	issues raised in telephone conversation were don't trust Hazco (broken laws before), cumulative impacts, property devaluing etc - did not cite all his concerns	quite interested in the advisory committee concept - OH to date have been at inconvenient times		XXX had spoken to XXX who lives with XXX
#31	Dec. 2 at 4:10 - LM; XXX called me back at 4: 20 pm; I returned	spoke to XXX - did not receive package and so committed to having	two questions he wants answered 1) why is Hazco moving forward even though there is so much opposition; and 2) is Hazco prepared to provide compensation to those who obtain a sulphur related disease	many, many issues - trust issues with Hazco (40 year tarp, different stories etc)	Keep on distribution list; wants earlier notification of any meetings held (not interested in advisory committee)	send out package of information to he and XXX	had a nice conversation but he is extremely anti project as he is anti Hazco and anti sulphur in that proximity - XXX
	Dec. 4		Tomeet disease				XXX called me and left a message on my voice mail - called him back and confirmed that he had one additional question that he would like a response to

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#32	Dec. 5 at 10:45 am	received the package -	no questions	no concerns or issues			XXX called me to share that he is 100% behind this project - firmly believes that industry is needed in the area
PACKAGE MAI	ILED OUT	NOVEMBER 29 - CA	LL WEEK OF DECEMBER 11				
	Dec. 9 at 1:26 pm - LM						left a detailed message on purpose of call along with my name and cell number. XXX
#33	Dec. 13	spoke with XXX - did not receive package as package was returned to local Hazco office - had his name spelled incorrectly - re-send					
#34	Dec. 9 at 1:31 pm - LM	meorreed, Te send					left a detailed message on purpose of call along with my name and cell number. XXX
#34	Dec 13 at 11:53 am - LM						left another detailed message as to the purpose of my call along with my name and cell number
#35	Dec. 9 at 1:40 pm	spoke briefly to both - see comments					Very strange call - husband got on the phone, introduced myself, said he didn't understand me, got his wife on the phone, she is extremely hearing impaired and said she couldn't hear me, said sorry and then hung up XXX
		package returned - called to verify address - address correct - re- send					not sure why package was returned as address is correct - shared that I was trying to get him info on the Hazco proposed sulphur facility - he said okay to send and then we can chat

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#36	Dec. 9 at 1:52 pm - LM						left a detailed message on purpose of call along with my name and cell number. XXX
	Dec. 13 at 12:03 - LM						left a detailed message on purpose of call along with my name and cell number. XXX
#37	Dec. 9 at 1:10 pm	spoke with XXX - see notes					phoned XXX and reached XXX - she was on the highway driving her patient so after a brief discussion she asked that I contact her husband. She provided me the phone number for him.
	Dec. 9 at 1:20 pm - no answer						even though cell - won't let me leave message - XXX
#38	Dec. 9 at 12:50 pm	spoke with XXX - received package of information this past week but have not had a chance to review the material yet - happy to have gotten it - her inlaws had mentioned they had a package a while back	did not have any questions at the time of the phone call - said she will go through the information and contact me (gave her my cell and email address) if she has any questions		want to be kept informed - has attended all the Open Houses in the past - likes the idea of the newsletter - undecided about the advisory committee concept		very pleasant - FOLC came to visit with her to share their perspective - respects all perspectives
#39	12:48 pm -	received but when first contacted, had not reviewed material					XXX - one of XXX F/Us - XXX had spoken to XXX two weeks ago but he had not had a chance to review the material. Calling to follow up but no answer and no answering machine
#40	Dec. 9 at 2:55 pm	received info but still has not had the chance to go through it		doesn't think that he has any concerns but he will go through the info and if he does he will call the 1-800 # on the letter			XXX - one of XXX F/Us - XXX had wanted an opportunity to review info before chatting

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#42	Nov. 25/06	yes		Concerns with emergency response procedures. XXX is with the local volunteer fire department	Likes idea of newsletters, review of ERP with fire department, thinks advisory process would help to better inform community		Talked with XXX - Good discussion.
#43	Nov. 25/06 - "left long message with purpose of call and requesting call back.	yes		that none of the larger areas around Edmonton. Feeling like we are going to be surrounded. XXX and XXX have been their for 30 years. Tells story about zzzzzzzzzz. Concerned with emissions that will come from all facilities. Not healthy. Talked with XXX. He is a former councillor. He is maddest that he doesn't think that the county	XXX does not think there has been a good job of explaining what this is all about. People need to know how the ERP and fire suppressions systems will work. Will it create health effects? Shell donated \$66k to the town. Went a long way to "pacifying the natives". Went a long way toward building up some community goodwill. Bruderheim now has a good relationship with Shell.		Nov., 25 message requested: outlined purpose of call. Confirmation of TOR. Recommended additions to TOR. Recommendations on future consultations.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#43				County of Strathcona gets all of the gravy. Area feels like they are getting dumped on. Feel like they are the back end of the horse. They get all of the waste product. Don't see Hazco stepping up to make contribution to the town. Garbage dump of area. We get nothing from it. Hazco has not come forward and done something for communities. Don't hear the company coming forward with community support. XXX was a former town councillor (for 9 years). See that expansion is inevitable. What's to stop expansion down the road.			
#44	Nov. 25/06 -	Yes	Hasn't fully read TOR. Wondering if it addresses potential rusting issues, health concerns, if it will smell.		multiple open houses, etc.		XXX is a fireman. Notes need to coordinate with fire department to review ERP. We discussed open houses which he recommends but make sure that they are schedule to accommodate shift work schedules (run on a Thur and a second on a Saturday sort of thing. to give everyone an opp. to attend. Good discussions and positive
#45	Nov. 25/06 Nov.	Yes	Call back on Monday - no time today		Not enough communication, town hall meetings, etc. New more.		Call back on Monday. Saturday not a good day to talk
#46	27/06 Nov. 25/06	Yes	Talked for well over an hour. Did receive package		Not optimistic about establishing an advisory process. Too much bad blood at this		

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#47	11/1/2025 ; Dec 7	Yes	Left Message. Dec 7 - talked with XXX	Dec. 7 - Concern that Emergency and emergency response scenarios are not clearly spelled out. Wants to know what is the worst thing that can happen. Drives by the proposed site daily. Says truck traffic is already too heavy for the existing roadway and that the additional traffic will add to traffic and safety concerns. Winter driving will be especially treacherous. Would like to see these areas assessed. Concerned with the visual impacts.			
#48	11/1/2025 , Dec. 7	Yes	Left Message, Dec. 7, Long discussion with XXX	Primary Issues: Details on emergency response and capabilities. Location all wrong. Move it - current location not fair. Lost trust with company. Concerned with future glut of sulphur on world markets. Then what happens?. Concern that sulphur facility will preclude residential development potential in L&B and the towns will be unable to keep their schools, hospitals etc		Asked me to e-mail my contact information so he can provide any comments back via e-mail. complete	
		Yes	Long discussion. Concerned that TOR does not address worst case scenario; Concern with conflict of interest; Concern that TOR does not set out study area and; concern that project scope keeps changing.				
#50	Nov. 26						Number no longer in service

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#51	11/1/2026 - Dec 7	?					Left detailed message. Dec 7 - Left 2nd message
	Dec. 8	recevied		Have faxed letter to AENV outlining their concerns	interested in newsletter		XXX called late afternoon
#52	11/1/2026 & Dec 7	?					Nov 26 - Left detailed message. Dec 7 - Left message with their child. Requested call back.
#53	11/1/2026 & Dec. 7	?					Left detailed message. Dec. 7 - Left detailed message (request for callback)
#54	01/11/202 6, Nov 27, Nov 29	Yes			Call tomorrow at 9:30 to confirm if they still have their materials. They gave a set away. Confirmed with XXX. Completed discussions with XXX. He is strongly opposed to the project. He received and has reviewed the TOR. Concerned with the many changes on the project description and the conflict of interest for Hazco.	Provide notes back to XXX. Letter drafted for review by team complete	Talked with XXX. Scheduled a call for 7:30 on Monday evening. Called on Nov 27. XXX called a couple of days later and requested that I provide him a report on our conversation. I indicated that I would summarize what I had heard in a note back to him.
#55	11/1/2026 & Dec. 7	Yes					Left detailed message. Dec 7 - Talked with XXX. Have not read the TOR yet in detail. Will review it and call me if they identify any concerns
#56	Nov. 26						Just stepping out - call back schedule for 8 pm on Monday evening.
	Nov. 27/06						spoke with XXX

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#57	Nov-26	yes		Notes that they have inspected a site where there was a sulphur spills and nothing still grows there (near Medicine Hat??)	XXX will review materials prior and contact me with questions. XXX called me a left message on my machine - Nov. 27. I called him back on Nov. 27. As soon as he heard I was working for Komex/Hazco he indicated that I was the enemy and refused any further discussions.		Talked with XXX. XXX will phone back when he gets time.
#58	Nov-26	Yes	Unclear exactly what capacity is being applied for? How many T/day. Concerned about traffic and train traffic. Noise and disturbance from train traffic already disruptive. Not excited about it getting worse. Why build at this location? What is the maximum amount of sulphur that could be conceivably stored onsite? Recommends that cumulative effects of all the other developments be assessed (I assured him it will be). There is a variety of groups conducting consultations. It is getting confusing. Watch for local consultation burnout.	Project capacity			Informative respectful discussions Good Call
#59	Nov-26	Yes		Impacts to land values. Storage. Risk of increased sulphur storage.			Angry.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#60	21-Nov	received	a) Where is the water for the plant coming from? XXX believes that it is coming from the North Sask River, and that is a drain on water resources for agriculture	A) Water usage - the plant will use water from the North Sask River and drain water resources; B) Soil monitoring - the information package says that soil will be monitored every 3 years, which is not enough. Concerned about soil contamination; C) Air pollution: Sulphur dust and pastilles will be blown by the prevailing NW winds into the town of Lamont; D) Health Impacts: zzzzzzzzz he is concerned about possible health impact of air pollution.		Information updates via newsletters in the mail are fine.	XXX believes (emphatically) that the Sulphur plant is not a "right fit" for the community. He is completely opposed to the project and believes it should be located closer to the sulphur source, in Fort MacMurray.
#61	Nov 21; Nov 23	yes		XXX has no specific concerns at the moment. He has not had time to read the info, and may or may not get a chance to look at it. He will call XXX if he has any concerns.			XXX is not sure if they received the package. XXX will be back on Thursday night. XXX followed up on Thursday night (Nov 23) and spoke with XXX.
#62	24-Nov	no response to voice message				N/A	XXX has moved, and her old phone number is no longer in service. Apparently she has moved in the Edmonton area. TELUS provided a phone number for XXX in the Edmonton area - Sherwood Park: XXX; XXX called on November 22 and there is a generic message so XXX will call again later. Left a message on Nov 24.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#63	Nov 21; Nov 23	yes		XXX concerns are as follows: A) XXX has a young family, and she is concerned about the health impacts from pollution / possible truck accidents given their proximity to the site. She is concerned about B) air pollution, C) water contamination, and D) increased truck traffic and therefore accidents. E) Fire risk - danger of a large fire F) Decreased property values - they just bought three years ago and were not aware of the Industrial Heartland zoning and their home is just on the edge in the buffer zone; G) Visual impact - their home is located on a hill, and they will see the sulphur piles and plant from their home H) No trust in Hazco - Hazco keeps changing plans and "rules" and she doesn't believe that what they say will be built will actually end out being built		Follow-up communications with a newsletter is fine.	Message left on November 21 with XXX work and home numbers - explaining who we are, what we are doing, and why we are following-up the mail-out package. Phone Interview on Nov 23.
	Nov 21 & Nov 22; Nov 26	yes		no concerns, according to her husband, XXX		XXX didn't have any concerns, but to call later.	Message left on November 21; XXX spoke with XXX on Nov 22. XXX has the package but hasn't had time to read it.; XXX called on Sunday and spoke with XXX
	Nov 21; Nov 24; Nov 26	yes		XXX has no questions, and at this point has decided to "give up on the whole thing". "If Hazco wants to jump through hoops to build the thing, then they will."		Follow-up communications with a mailed newsletter is fine	Message left on November 21; November 24; Phone interview Nov 26

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#66	Nov 21; Nov 23	yes		XXX has no specific questions or concerns		Follow-up communications with a mailed newsletter is	Message left on Nov 21; phone call on Nov 24
#67	21-Nov	received it	"Do you want your house by a garbage dump?" Why not move it to the Scotford area, where the product is being produced?	XXX is concerned about: A) the risk of soil / ground contamination; B) a large fire, which would be extremely dangerous given the proximity of the residential areas; C) little economic benefit due to the low number of people who will be hired; D) housing prices will drop.		No	XXX is strongly opposed to the project because it is close to residences. He thinks it should be in Fort MacMurray area where the source is, and it can be built away from residential areas. He also thinks that Hazco will store sulphur on the site like Agrium, which has a sulphur mound that is "not going anywhere can't use all the sulphur they have now." He thinks that the info from Hazco is "slanted".
#68	21-Nov	received		XXX wants nothing to do with the sulphur plant. He has seen sulphur plants before and trees have been covered with sulphur about 15 - 20 km away. His concern is sulphur dust and pastilles blowing around the area.			XXX noticed that the name of the project has been changed from storage to shipping, but he does not believe that Hazco will not store sulphur on their site. He is "deadly against" the project and wants "nothing to do with the sulphur facility." His home is 5 km away.
	Nov 21; Dec 3; 6; 13	yes					Left message Dec 3 as follow-up to mail out. XXX spoke with XXX on Dec 6 and she had received the package, but did not have time to read it. XXX said she would call back. XXX made a follow-up call on December 13 and left a message with a man who said that he said ask XXX would call if she had any concerns.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#70	Nov 21; Nov 29	yes		No concerns at this point. XXX will call XXX if he has any questions or concerns.			XXX has received the package, but has not had time to read through it. Call in a week for follow-up. XXX called on Nov 29.
#71	Nov 21; 22 Dec 6 & 13	yes				XXX did not receive first mail out. Second package mailed out on November 29.	Message left on Nov 21; Nov 22; Spoke with the XXX daughter on Dec 3 and left a message for them to call back. XXX spoke with XXX brother XXX who confirmed that they had the package. XXX said that XXX would call if he had questions or concerns.
#72	21-Nov	received	"Why do the Environment people and Hazco not know the meaning of the word 'No'??"	XXX has the same concerns that he submitted to Alberta Environment.		Send a copy of these notes to XXX.	XXX believes that the concerns that he has already submitted to Alberta Environment have been "buried" and the company cannot take "no" for an answer. "There are places in Alberta with no people around - put it there!"
#73	21-Nov	received		XXX is concerned with sulphur being so close to residences because: A) risk of a fire that could be dangerous; B) increased train traffic; C) increased truck traffic; D) impact on health for kids, adults and animals - air pollution, smell and possibility of a fire; and E) contamination due to dust that will blow around in the prevailing winds.		Future communications: XXX would like updates in the mail.	

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#74	21-Nov	received		A) Air quality: concerned about air pollution from the sulphur; B) Water pollution: drainage from the plant into ground water and possible pollution of water; C) Soil contamination: from sulphur dust; D) Health impact of pollution - Yyyyyyyyyyy; E) Fire risk and need for an emergency response plan; f) Potential impact on land values and chance that land prices will fall		XXX will call on Thursday Nov 23 concerning land values, which XXX has started to look into. XXX called back on Nov 23 - XXX suggested the XXX contact Triode Reality in Edmonton. They seem to have a handle on land values in Lamont County.	
#75	21-Nov	received		XXX is concerned about: A) how the plant will effect the environment in terms of air and water quality; B) impact on housing prices, they may fall.		The XXX have been receiving two mail-outs in their home, one to her and the other to her husband XXX. She would like follow-up communication in the mail, but they only need one package.	
# 16	Nov 21; Nov 23	received		XXX is concerned about air pollution from the sulphur plant. There is already enough pollution in the area due to the upgraders, and "zzzzzzzzzz". She is 100% opposed to the project.			Message left on Nov 21; phone interview Nov 23

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#77	Nov 21; Nov 22; Nov 26	received		XXX concerns are: A) cumulative impacts of being in an industrial zone - in terms of air, water, soil contamination; B) fire - an uncontrolled fire will be a big problem; C) property values will be negatively impacted; D) building far too close to two towns, which are growing communities; E) Possibility of an accident - what will happen if a truck crashes with molten sulphur? F) Dust in the air will be a problem for soil contamination, water, etc; G) health impacts on people, livestock and wildlife.			Message left on Nov 21 with XXX son, XXX; spoke with XXX on Nov 22 and she has received the info but hasn't had time to read it - call back on Sunday night / XXX spoke with XXX on Sunday Dec 26
#78	21-Nov	received		XXX is concerned about A) sulphur dust and pastilles blowing "all over the damn county" and all along the RR tracks; B) loss of good farmland to industry in general, OK to use sandy land but good farmland should not be lost to industry.		Follow-up: a mail-out is preferable and better than an open house "where people typically wander off topic" and the process is "not necessarily constructive".	

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#79	21-Nov	received		XXX is downwind of the proposed Hazco site and approx 1.6 km away. He is concerned about: A) air emissions and the venting system; B) impact on health for humans and animals of air pollution; C) soil contamination; D) water contamination (which he experienced previously when an oil & gas company shot seismic near his lake property and disturbed the ground water, contaminating his well water supply; E) devaluation of property values.		Future communications: mail out info is fine	XXX said that Hazco has been "far from honest" and that they don't give "straight answers". Things are always "under study". The community does not need Hazco.
#80	Nov 22; Nov 24	received		No questions / concerns		email future communications to him at XXX	left a message on Nov 22; phone interview Nov 24
#81	Nov 22; Nov 23; Nov 29			No time to review package yet, but at this point if any concerns or questions come up XXX will call XXX.			left a message on Nov 22; Nov 23 - XXX said to call back on Nov 29
#82	22-Nov	received		Does not want Hazco in the area.			XXX had no comment other than he does not want it in the area. He got the package but threw it in the garbage and is not at all interested in the project

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#83	Nov 22; Nov 23	yes		Prefer that the plan goes elsewhere. XXX has worked in sulphur (at XXX) and know that there is a risk. His concerns are: A) fire; B) in case of a derailment - fire; C) smell - air contamination; D) water contamination; E) corrosion; E) health impacts of air and water pollution		communications in future by newsletter is fine. Not interested in the advisory committee	left a message on Nov 22
#84	Nov 22; Nov 23	yes		Nothing to say, no questions. Hoken just wants to see how it "falls out".		communications in future by newsletter is fine. Not interested in the advisory committee	tried to call on Nov 22 - no answering machine, so I could not leave a message
#85	22-Nov	received		XXX said that they have nothing to add to the concerns that they mailed to Alberta Environment.			XXX will get in touch with XXX if she wants to add any new concerns or has any other questions.
#86	22-Nov	received		XXX concerns were: A) location - the plant is "way too close" to residential areas; B) she is concerned about pollution from the plant - air, water, soil; C) spillage along the RR tracks; D) possibility of truck accident with the increased traffic; E) dust and pastilles blowing all over the place from the open pile; F) cumulative impacts of so much industry in the area - they are planning to move back to BC			XXX was very positive about the EIA study being conducted and said that she "hopes that the environment people take a serious look at this affair"
#87	nov 23; Nov 29	received		No concerns, will call XXX if any questions or concerns do arise.			November 23 - XXX hasn't had time to read the info, call back on Nov 29.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#88	Nov 23; Nov 25	yes		XXX asked XXX some questions about the EIA process & the next steps for Hazco, which XXX answered; His concerns are: A) property values may fall; B) extra truck traffic on the road is a concern		Updates by a newsletter (in regular post) are preferred communications method; XXX is too busy for open houses.	left message Nov 23; phone interview Nov 25
#89	23-Nov	received		XXX is concerned about A) air Pollution, which is his number one concern; and B) increased tuck traffic and possibility of a sulphur spill if there is an accident		preferred communications	If the air pollution continues to get bad, due to all the upgraders & Fort Sask, the XXX will move.
#90	23-Nov	received		No concerns now; if XXX has any questions or concerns he will call me			

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#91	Nov 23; Nov 25	yes	Does someone in XXX have shares in Hazco? If so, this is a	XXX concerns are: A) rail traffic will increase and if there is a derailment the threat of fire is serious; B) increased truck traffic on the highways poses a greater risk of accidents; C) thinks that Hazco will go ahead and store sulphur once they have their operating license; D) risk of soil contamination; E) impact of pollution on wildlife; F) air contamination and pollution in general; G) worried about the "worst case scenario" - a large uncontrolled fire - there is no adequate Emergency Response Plan		follow-up through a newsletter is fine; add Box # to her file:	left voice message on Nov 23
#92	Nov 23; Nov 26; Nov 29	no answer to voice mail					left voice message on Nov 23; Nov 26; and 29th.
#93	23-Nov	yes		no comments or concerns		Updates by a newsletter are preferred communications method - email: XXX	

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#94	26-Nov	yes		XXX has concerns about: A) property devaluation; B) dust in the air; C) eyesore; D) extra rail and car traffic; E) health hazards of pollution in the valley, especially hazardous for lungs / asthma; F) no Emergency Response Plan has been made available to the public, and the local services are inadequate in case of a large fire			
#95	Nov 23; Nov 26	yes		XXX concerns are: A) property devaluation; B) creating a "garbage dump" of sulphur - taking residue from upgraders in other counties and dumping it in Lamont county; C) Hazco "keeps changing their tune"; D) tax revenue is not that high			left message Nov 23; phone interview Nov 26
#96	Nov 23; Nov 26	yes		XXX is opposed to the facility because its location is too close to the towns of Lamont and Bruderheim; his concerns are: A) air contamination, dust in the air; B) increase traffic means likelihood of more accidents - infrastructure is already stretched with the growing traffic and a double-laned highway is a "long ways off"; C) Lamont County is being used to store / ship by-products from Strathcona county, so why not set up this operation there?; C) Fire is a risk		_	left message Nov 23; phone interview on Nov 26

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#97	Nov 23; Nov 26	yes	What is the Emergency Response Facility and Plan?	XXX concerned about Hazco in the area: A) extra truck traffic; B) tax revenue is low; C) visual impact is negative - looking at a big eyesore with low return; D) concerned about a large stockpile of sulphur and the environmental hazards associated with this (water contamination & run -off); E) XXX thinks that Hazco will stockpile in the future, even if they say they won't; F) fire risk - concern about acid rain from the fires; F) concern about the sulphur smell: G) Emergency Response Facility - what kind of ERP will Hazco provide? The volunteer fired department could not handle a large fire.		would like pewsletters in the	Left message Nov 23 with their daughter, XXX; telephone interview Nov 26
#98	23-Nov	yes	· ·	XXX suffers from zzzz. XXX is very concerned about the possibility of sulphur dust in the air. Zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz Concern: A) Health Impact due to sulphur dust / air pollution			

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#99	Nov 23; Nov 26; Nov 29	no answer to voice mail					I spoke with XXX, and left my number with her. She said that XXX would call me back. Left another message (voice mail) Nov 26. Left a third voice mail on Nov 29.
#100	25-Nov	yes		XXX asked me for an update on the stage the project is at, so I explained the EIA process to her. She does not have any other questions or concerns, and will call me if any come up.			
#101	23-Nov	??		??			I called XXX on Nov 23 in the evening, and he listened to me explain who I was. Once I mentioned that XXX was involved in the Hazco EIA, he hung up. Sounded angry.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 21/06						Called - no v.mail available
#102	Nov 25/06 1:10 PM						Called - no v.mail available
#102	Nov 28/06 12:24 PM						Called - no v.mail available
	Dec 5 /06						XXX phoned Susan DS and shared with her that he is 100% behind the project - area needs industry
#103	Nov 21/06						Called - YYYYYYYYYYYYYY and she is unavailable to speak now - please call back next week
	Nov. 28 - no answer						no answer
#104	Nov 21/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent and asking that they call back
	Nov 25/06 1:15 PM	Spoke with XXX. He did receive the package but hasn't had a chance to review it		He indicated that he would take a look at the information and then let us know if he had any additional concerns. When prompted, he didn't share any concerns over the phone	He indicated that he would be interested in receiving more info and would like to be notified of meetings etc.		He did not indicate a preference to receive info by email or mail but his email address is: XXX

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 21/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back
#105	Nov 25/06 1:20 PM	Spoke with XXX who did receive the package and read some of it		He indicated that his primary concerns are the proximity of the plant to a med-density area and it's impact on human health; impact on air quality & particulate matter; increased traffic on hwy 15 - it is already really congested and there are no plans to increase its size as part of the project.	He would like to receive high level updates but is not interested in being "barraged with 100 page documents". A newsletter would be fine and if he has further questions he will pursue them independently. He would prefer to receive info by mail.		
	Nov 21/06						Called - no v.mail available
#106	Nov 25/06 1:30 PM	Called and spoke with XXX who indicated that she received the package but hadn't read it		Her primary concerns are with respect to air pollution, impacts on human health (cancer concern) and the number of vehicles on the roads and potential truck or train accidents & sulphur spills	more information and indicated		She is opposed to the project but seemed resigned to the fact that it was coming anyway and didn't have a lot of anger about it.
#107	Nov 21/06						Called and spoke with a woman at his house who indicated that XXX had passed away in June

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 21/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back
#108	Nov 25/06 1:30 PM						Called & received a busy signal
	Nov 28/06 12:24 PM						Called and left another voice mail message requesting that he call if he had any other issues or concerns that he would like included in the EIA TOR
#109		Yes, he had received the package and read it		He indicated that he had some comments to make but that he wanted to share them in a letter to Alberta Environment that he intended to send in early December. When asked, he refused to share his concerns or issues			

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#110	Nov 21/06	They had both received and read the package	She had no questions about its contents	She had no concerns or issues at this time	She indicated that they would like to continue to be informed but that they did not feel the need to be involved. She indicated that a newsletter would be sufficient to keep them informed. When asked, she indicated that they would not be interested in participating in an advisory committee or public meeting.		
#111	Nov 21/06	Spoke with XXX who indicated that she had received the package but hadn't read it as she found it overwhelming. I offered to walk her through it but she said no thanks.			When asked about further involvement she indicated that she would be interested in that. She indicated that she would like to have more information on the project and felt that a meeting that she could attend in person would be most helpful for her		When asked about timing for a public meeting, she indicated that early December is a difficult time for her.
#112	Nov 21/06	Indicated that she had received and read the information package		the plant. She does not want it near	She indicated that Hazco does not need to contact her any further and that she will continue to be informed on the project by "the people that I am in contact with"		

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 21/06						Left a v.mail message for XXX - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back
#113	Nov 25/06 1:30 PM	Spoke with XXX. He did receive the package but hasn't had a chance to read it as he is on the road.		He has no real issues and is generally in support of the project.	He is interested in doing whatever he can to help the project go forward. He would like to continue to receive information in the mail and would like to hear about meetings or committees. He is a trucker though and is on the road a lot so he may not always be able to attend.	F/U re: advisory committee	He is not interested in receiving things by email.
#114	Nov 21/06 Nov 25/06 1:40 PM						Left a v.mail message for them - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent and further consultation activities and asking that they call back. Called and left another voice mail message asking that they call me back.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#115	Nov 21/06	He received the info package and read it. He provided his comments to the office		He is concerned about inversions. He is also concerned about air quality and the fact that his birch are dying off. He wants to know what the expected emissions are. He is concerned about the quality of the trucking outfitters used to bring the sulphur to the plant. He indicated that if Hazco employs good trucking company's then he will be okay. He is also concerned about the construction quality of the plant. If Hazco constructs a top of the line facility then he is not concerned about it.	He would like to be involved	F/U re: advisory committee	He is fairly knowledgeable and has worked in sulphur plants before.
#116	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message for XXX - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent & involvement in further consultation activities and asking that they call back
	Nov 25/06 1:40 PM						Called & spoke with son. They were not available to speak.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#117	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent & involvement in further consultation activities and asking that they call back
	Nov 22/06	received & read the	He wanted to know when the plant was likely to be up & running.	He had no issues at all with the project and is in support of it. He thinks Hazco is a great company and that they are doing a really good job	He indicated that he would be willing to sit on a resident advisory committee and that he is free some weekends and evenings.	F/U re: advisory committee	He also provided me with the cell phone numbers of his kids who no longer live at home but who are also supportive of the project: XXX; XXX.
	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent & involvement in further consultation activities and asking that he call back
#110	Nov 25/06	Called & spoke with XXX. He did receive the package					He was driving truck at the time and asked that I call back on Monday during the day.
#118		XXX received the pkg but hadn't read it		XXX is not concerned about the project. He feels that people are reacting to a lot of misinformation and don't really understand what the risks would be. His only concern is with respect to how many local resources will be used in the construction & operation of the facility.	He indicated that he is interested in continuing to receive information by mail but that he doesn't have time to attend meetings.		XXX is friends with XXX. He owns a trucking company and would be interested in providing services to the project. He also lives up the road from the proposed plant location.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#119	Nov 22/06						Called and spoke with XXX wife. I introduced myself and indicated that I would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent. She indicated to me that they are totally against the project but that I could reach XXX after 4PM today.
	Nov 22/06	Yes he received the package & read it but didn't understand it	He had no questions about it.	He is very opposed to the project. He thinks there is too much pollution in the area and that there is too much industry. He wanted to know why the plant wasn't being put in Strathcona County.	He doesn't want to be involved in any meetings and doesn't want to be on the mailing list anymore. He feels that they said their piece by signing the petition and doesn't think that Hazco will listen if he gets involved in any formal processes.		He is fairly vocal and angry about the proposed facility.
#120	1000 77/116	XXX has received the info package but hasn't had a chance to review it		She felt that it was likely that it covered off on all her concerns but she would try and review it before the Dec. deadline	She isn't really interested in being directly involved at this point and considers herself to be an innocent bystander. She would like to "see what happens".		
#121	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent and asking that they call back
	Nov 25/06 1:45 PM						Called and spoke with their daughter. Left a message requesting that they call back.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#121	12.44 PM	XXX called back. She did receive the info package and has read it.		They have no new issues but are still opposed to the project.	They are involved in the Friends of Lamont and will continue to be involved through that organization but will not independently participate in consultation initiatives. They would like to continue to receive information by mail but she said to only send stuff to her husband and not to both of them as they have been receiving duplicates.		
#122	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent and asking that they call back
	Nov 25/06 1:45 PM						Called and left another voice mail message asking that they call.
	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent & asking that he call
#123	Nov 25/06	Called and spoke with XXX. He did receive the package and had read it.		His primary concern is that the local rail lines are not equipped to deal with this volume of traffic. There are only 4 switches in their area so he is concerned about derailments & accidents. Also, he is concerned that the area may be sitting on an old fault line and the feels that the plant should be elevated 200 feet from its current location as the water table is too high in the valley.	He is not interested in being involved further. He does not want to receive any more information in the mail either.		He wrote a letter to AENV & cc'd the office in Lamont on Friday that contained all of his concerns. He feels that this has completed his say in the matter.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 22/06						Called XXX - she has no voice mail so I was unable to leave a message
	Nov 23/06						Called XXX - she has no voice mail
	1107 23/00			11 .			so I was unable to leave a message
#124	Nov 25/06 2:15 PM	XXX has received the package but hadn't read it		Her primary concerns are emergency response, the impact of the plant's pollution on her family (her kids are asthmatic) and the overall pollution. She does however recognize that there are some benefits by way of jobs but she feels that the drawbacks outweigh the benefits	prefers to receive stuff by mail	F/U re: advisory committee	She seemed concerned about the plant but not overly angry.
#125	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call.
	Nov 25/06 2:17 PM						Called and left another voice mail message asking that they call me back.
#126	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with her re: the package of info that was sent and asking that she call back
	Nov 25/06 2:20 PM						Called and left another voice mail message asking that she call me back.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#127	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back
	Nov 25/06 2:22 PM						Called and left another voice mail message asking that he call me back.
#128	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back
	Nov 25/06 2:24 PM						Called and left another voice mail message asking that he call me back.
	Nov 22/06	Yes, he received and read the package					Called and spoke with his wife who indicated that they had received & reviewed the package. She indicated that XXX would call back if he had any questions or concerns. I indicated that I would try him again over the weekend.
#129	Nov 25/06 2:32 OM			XXX primary concerns are about emissions. He thinks that the plant will likely comply with the government emissions levels, however, he is concerned that those levels aren't sufficient to protect public health. He does recognize though that this issue is beyond the scope of the Hazco proposal.	He is not interested in being involved in consultation activities but would like to stay informed on the status of the project. 1pg newsletter would be great.		He would like to receive newsletters by email at XXX. He also works at XXX so he has knowledge about industry but he is opposed to the project.
#130	Nov 22/06	Yes she received the package			Please remove her from distribution lists		XXX no longer lives in Bruderheim - she has moved to Edmonton and she indicated that she no longer has any interest in the project.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#131	Nov 22/06	Yes she received the package and reviewed it		She has no concerns about the project and felt that the TOR was pretty thorough	She id not interested in being involved formally in consultation efforts but does hear about meetings etc and will attend if she feels she needs to		She is supportive of the project. She feels that it should go ahead as she thinks that the area really needs the industry and that it is foolish to think that people can live as they did 50 years ago.
		Yes she received the package but hasn't had a chance to review it					she indicated that she is leaving the house and would like me to call back tomorrow to chat.
#132	Nov 23/06	XXX. He indicated that he had received the	He asked about my role relative to the project and appeared concerned that we were being paid by Hazco to conduct this environmental assessment.			He would like us to call him back in a week once he has had a chance to review the package of information.	
		Left message					
#133	Nov 22/06	package and reviewed it	She asked if the plant had already been approved as the materials were written as though it had been	She is primarily concerned about the plant's impact on the environment and her family's health - they have children. She is also worried about what will happen in the event of an emergency - i.e. there is no way to guarantee that they will be totally safe. Also she feels that they have too many plants in the area.	She is not particularly interested in being actively involved but would like to continue to be informed.		

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#134	Nov 25/06	Called and spoke with XXX. She did receive the info package but had not read it		She is very opposed to the project. She feels that it should be in Strathcona County as they receive all the benefits so they should get all the negatives as well. She is concerned about pollution, impacts on human health, the traffic on the highway and potential accidents that may occur esp. b/c children on school busses take that highway as well.	is available. She would like to continue to receive info and	F/U re: advisory committee	
#135	Nov 22/06	received and read the	She asked if Hazco was still planning on going forward and if there would be any further public meetings	She indicated that her primary concerns are air pollution and leaching into the ground. She felt that they were covered off in the TOR.	She indicated that she would be very interested in being involved in an advisory committee process.	F/U re: advisory committee	She indicated that they have so much industry around them that air pollution is a significant concern for them. She did state though that it is hard to pinpoint one source as the
	Nov 22/06						Called XXX & XXX but they have no voice mail so I couldn't leave a message.
#136	Nov 23/06	She did receive the package and only looked at it briefly		She indicated that her primary concerns are with air quality and increased traffic. She stated that their roads can't handle the volume of traffic and that the tax payers end up paying for road maintenance	She indicated that she is unable to personally participate in meetings right now because zzzzzzzzzz, but that they would like to continue to be informed.		Note: zzzzzzzzzzzz. Also, she did not seem to understand the EIA process and she felt that she had already made her concerns understood through a letter.
#137	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back
	Nov 25/06 2:48 PM						Called and left another v-mail message requesting that he call back.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#138	Nov 22/06						Called XXX & XXX but they have no voice mail so I couldn't leave a message.
	Nov 23/06	Spoke with XXX who did receive the info package. She found it too scientific to understand	She wanted to know whether the proposed plant was expected to bring jobs to the area.	Her primary concerns are on odours, noise, whether or not there will be increased train traffic (she lives near the tracks) and possible effects on health.	She is not interested in public meetings or an advisory committee but she would be interested in receiving further information as long as it is shorter and easier to read.		Note: XXX indicated that the info provided to date is really hard to understand and too scientific. She would prefer to receive information that is easier to understand.
	Nov 22/06						Called XXX & XXX but they have no voice mail so I couldn't leave a message.
#139	Nov 23/06	Called and spoke with XXX. She did receive the package but indicated that she "needed a lawyer to help her understand it"		Her primary concerns are with respect to environmental and soil impacts. She thinks that the facility is a bad thing for the area and she doesn't understand why it needs to be built there. She is also concerned that it will negatively impact property values and that there will be impacts on their health as well.	She indicated that she really isn't very good at being on advisory committees so she wouldn't be interested but that she would like to be kept on the mailing list. However, she would like the information to be easier to understand going forward as she indicated that most of the people in the area don't have a university degree so it was too hard to understand.		

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent and asking that they call back
#140	Nov 25/06 2:51 PM	Spoke with XXX who indicated that they have received the package but have not reviewed it yet.		She indicated that they will likely send a letter to AENV outlining their concerns and that they will continue to oppose the project. When asked if she would be willing to share her concerns. She declined.	She would like to continue to receive information and would prefer to receive it by mail.		
	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent and asking that they call back
#141	Nov 25/06	Called and spoke with XXX. They received but did not read the package		When asked if he would like to share his concerns, he declined. He indicated that they are totally disillusioned with the process. They feel that the plant is coming anyway and that there is nothing they can do. They are totally opposed.	They are not interested in participating in consultation activities and he does not want to receive any more information.		
#142	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with her re: the package of info that was sent and asking that she call back
	Nov 25/06 2:57 PM						Called and left another v.mail message asking that she call back.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 22/06						Called - no v.mail available
#143	Nov 23/06						Called - no v.mail available
	Nov 25/06 2:59 PM						Called - no v.mail available
	Nov 22/06						Called - no v.mail available
#144	Nov 23/06	Called and spoke with XXX who indicated that she had received and read the package		She still doesn't think the plant is a good idea and her primary concerns are environmental. She is worried about sulphur dust from the plant sticking to her house and windows and is concerned about breathing it in.	She is not interested in being on an advisory committee but would like to continue to receive information.		

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 22/06					Call back Nov 23 before noon	Called - asked that he call back Nov 23 before noon.
#145	Nov 23/06	package but hadn't read it so I walked him through the contents	1) He wants to know what sulphur will do to asthmatic patients, COPD patients & patients with sulphur allergies 2) what environmental toxins other than sulphur will be released 3) what happens if there is an accident? There is only 1 evacuation road that they can use to evacuate their 105 geriatric inpatients 4) what kind of danger does the plant itself pose to workers? what kinds of injuries etc. will the hospital need to be ready to deal with? 5)He is hearing rumours that it will be upgraded in the future, how will this future development affect potential risk of an incident and the potential magnitude of an incident? 6) He would like information on previous disasters at sulphur processing facilities, what the magnitude has been and how they have been dealt with	His primary concern rests with the impacts on the health of the local population and how the hospital will manage potential incidents, accidents and disasters.	He would like to be on the advisory committee but he has no time. He is receiving information from one of his nurses who is very involved. However, he would be interested in continuing to receive information on the project	Please respond to his questions.	
#146	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back
	Nov 28/06 12:41 PM						Called and left a message with XXX wife asking that he please call back.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 22/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back
#147		XXX has received the info and has read it.		His primary concerns are with the location of the plant and with the transportation routes. He is concerned that the highways go right past schools and that the trains run through town so he is worried that the chance of an accident is higher.	He would like to continue to receive info on the project via mail. He will attend open houses if he can but isn't interested in an advisory committee.		
#148	Nov 22/06	Yes he received the package but hadn't read it yet	He wanted to know if the project was still going forward. He also asked rhetorically why the plant wasn't being built father north. I offered to find out for him but he declined.	His primary concerns are air quality as he lives downwind and water quality.	He requested that he continue to be informed but indicated that he wouldn't be interested in being involved in an advisory committee as he didn't want to stick his neck out too much.		
#149		Yes she received the package and has read some of it		She is very concerned about the project as she feels it will be bad for the environment and is concerned that it will have an impact on her property values. She is also concerned about its impact on human health	She would like to continue to be informed but is not interested in attending meetings as she cannot drive and doesn't like to go out in the winter. I asked if she would attend if provided with transportation assistance and she indicated no.		
#150	Nov 23/06 Nov 28/06 12:49 PM						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back Called and left another v. mail requesting that he call back.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#151	Nov 23/06	Spoke with XXX who indicated that he had received the info and only read half of it.		His primary concern is the impact of the plant on health and sulphur drifting downwind to his house	He is not interested in participating in an advisory committee and he felt that Hazco had already produced all the info they could on the plant and that he was not likely to hear anything new so he isn't interested in receiving any more information.		He seemed fed up with the plant, is opposed to it but resigned to the fact that they will probably build it anyway regardless of what he says.
#152	Nov 23/06	XXX had received the package and read part of it. She felt that it covered off on all her concerns.	She wanted to know when the plant will be up and running as she is interested in getting a job.	She had no outstanding issues. She had spoken with the woman in the Lamont office who answered all her questions and she felt that she did a really good job	being involved in an advisory committee as would her partner		She stated that there is a lot of untruthful information on the street about this project and that the truth seems to take longer to get around.
#153		They had received the package and haven't read it					She requested that we call her back next week to talk.
#154	Nov 23/06 Nov 28/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back Called and left another v. mail
	12:51 PM						requesting that he call back.
#155		Has received the info package. Has not read it yet		She indicated that they will be detailing their concerns in a letter to Alberta Environment and she declined to share anything with me over the phone	She indicated that they will continue to be involved in consultation activities at their convenience. She would like to be kept on the mailing list but did not want to formally become part of a committee		

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#156	NOTE 73/116	Has received and read the information package		She was very angry. She indicated that she had thought that the plant was a no-go and is angered to find out that it is still proceeding. She is concerned that it will be ugly, she is concerned about yellow dust and its impact on the health of her family and their livestock, she also has significant concerns about the trustworthiness of Hazco.			She is very energized and very against the project.
#157	Nov 23/06	Called and spoke with XXX. She had received the package but hadn't opened it		She indicated that they have numerous concerns including its impact on their health, smell, noise, traffic, devalued property and the fact that other industries have indicated that if the plant goes forward they won't develop in the area.	She is interested in being notified of the advisory committee and may consider participation.	F/U re: advisory committee	
#158	Nov 23/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with them re: the package of info that was sent and asking that they call back
	Nov 28/06 12:53 PM						Called and left another v-mail message requesting that they call back.
#159	Nov 23/06						Left a v.mail message - introducing myself, indicating that we would like to speak with him re: the package of info that was sent and asking that he call back
	Nov 28/06 1:10 PM						Called and left another v-mail message requesting that he call back.

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#160	Nov 22nd	Received	Will call me back once she's had a chance to review information - said she was busy and couldn't give a rough idea of when				It was fairly clear that she was annoyed with anything related to HAZCO
#161	Nov 22nd	Received		Worried about location, devaluation of land, smell, increase in traffic and safety of children with more trucks on the road, road maintenance		Let her know the status of the Advisory Committee	Noted that she lives 2 miles from a feedlot and says she is greatly impacted by the smell and noted that when the "feedlot guys" came in and presented their information they swore there wouldn't be any impacts she feels it will be the same with HAZCO
	Nov 22nd						Left message and contact info
#162	Nov 25th @ 12:21						Left message and contact info
#163	Nov 22nd						Left message and contact info with a friend who is staying with her right now. Zzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzzz
#164	Nov 22nd	Did not receive but doesn't want it and refuses to give out mailing address					
#175	Nov 22nd						Left message and contact info
#165	Nov 25th @ 12:22						Left message and contact info

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#166	Nov 22nd	Received		Husband signed their names - she seemed pleasant enough but doesn't really have any questions or concerns and "we can take them off			
#4.67	Nov 22nd						Left message and contact info
#167	Nov 25th @12:26						Left message and contact info
#168	Nov 22nd						Something wrong with phone or answering machine - goes dead after first ring - will follow up in a couple of days
	Nov 25th @12:29	Phone not working					Something wrong with phone or answering machine - goes dead after first ring
#169	Nov 22nd	XXX hung-up the phone as soon as he heard the word "HAZCO"					
#170	Nov 22nd	No - didn't get the information and has no interest in getting it - hung up					
	Nov 22nd						Left message and contact info
#171	Nov 25th @12:30	Spoke to wife - call in the evening					Left message and contact info
#172	Nov 22nd						Left message and contact info
# 173	Nov 22nd	Received		Attitude that it's a big company and they'll get what they want - she's just one voice. I explained the EIA process and how her voice could be heard. We had a good conversation but she told me she doesn't really think about it anymore and figures that "if it's meant to be it's meant to be"	Send newsletter to mailing address		

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#174	Nov 22nd						Left message and contact info
#174	Nov 25th @12:33						Left message and contact info
	Nov 22nd						No answer/answering machine attempted to reach three times
	Nov 25th @12:35						No answer/answering machine
#175	Nov 28th@ 2:20	Received		No real issues or concerns - did wonder why locations further north weren't considered. Noted that he thinks it's hypocrtical for the objectors to drive their big trucks that require gasoline that requires the removal of sulphur (during processing) to objectors meetings			
	Nov 22nd	did not receive package - mail another one					Left message and contact info
#176	Nov 25th @ 12:37	Did not receive information - mailing address: XXX				Call back in two weeks when he's had a chance to review the info	
	Dec. 9 (XXX made call)	recevied info but hasn't had a chance to go through it yet					pleasant chat - he will call Susan DS if he has any questions or additional issues not noted
#177	Nov 22nd						Left message and contact info
#1//	Nov 22nd						Left message and contact info
#178	Nov 22nd	Received		Worried about trucks and traffic, feels the economic benefits does not justify the social and environmental impacts	Interested in Advisory Committee	follow-up with info on advisory committee	Seemed like a pleasant couple - they're concerned but reasonable

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 23rd						Busy signal for over an hour - couldn't leave message
#179	Nov 25th @12:42	Received		Read it weeks ago and will call if she has any questions or concerns - left her my contact info			
	Nov 23rd						Left message and contact info
#180	Nov 25th @12:51						Left message and contact info
#181		Did not receive - XXX moved to Edmonton - mailing address: XXX	Wants to know why they chose the location they did and using prime land	Location issues	Wants to be kept updated via newsletters - sent to mailing address	Gave me the phone number for his brother XXX in Calgary to follow-up with	HATES Americans and spoke for 45 minutes about how Walmart and how American companies are ruining Canada
	Nov 25th @ 12:54						Busy Signal - 403-686-2360
#182	Nov 28th @ 2:25	Left message and contact info if he didn't receive info		Brother of XXX (who I've already spoken with). Phone number is XXX			left message and contact info
#183	Nov 23rd	Phone number out of service					
	Nov 23rd						left message and contact info
#184	Nov 25th @ 12:58						left message and contact info
	Nov 23rd						left message and contact info
#185	Nov 25th @1:00						left message and contact info
	Nov 23rd						left message and contact info
#186	Nov 25th						left message and contact info
	@ 1:02 Nov 23rd						left message and contact info
#187	Nov 25th @1:04						left message and contact info

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#188	Nov 23rd	Received - spoke with wife		No issues	Keep updated via newsletters to mailing address		left contact info with wife but wife indicated everything was "good" on their end so he likely wouldn't need to call me - Was very impressed with XXX and wanted her "boss" to know how great she is
	Nov 23rd						left message and contact info
#189	Nov 25th @ 1:06						left message and contact info
#190	Nov 23rd	received	No questions	No specific issues anymore - adopting a "wait and see" attitude	Keep updated via newsletters to mailing address		
	Nov 23rd						left message and contact info
#191	Nov 25th @ 1:09						left message and contact info
#192		Received	Questions relating to emergency response and role of local fire squad as well as the rationale behind the location - believes anything west of 2-12 makes better sense	Main issues are: smell/odour, dust, and emergency response, property values, site location - thinks there are better locations for it	Interested in Advisory committee would be available on the 9th		XXX works for XXX and has a post secondary degree in chemistry so he feels quite "passionate" about the issues relating to sulphur
	Nov 23rd						Spoke with mom and XXX has moved to Vancouver - I got her Vancouver number - not sure if we need to follow-up?
#193	Nov 28th @ 2:40	Received		Phone number - XXX. Mom mailed HAZCO package to her in Vancouver. Went over it and had some questions/concerns but needs to review again. Asked if I could call back at 6:00 her time		Call her back at 6:00 PST	

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#193	Nov 28th @ 7:00	Received		Called back at time requested and she was still hadn't read the information - told her I'd try to call her on Thursday evening around 8:00 her time		Call her back at 8:00 PST	
	Nov 29th @ 9:00	Received		Called her back and she was on her way to class and couldn't talk			
#194	Nov 23rd						Left message and contact info with wife and will try to follow-up but was told he is a shift worker and very difficult to get a hold of
	Nov 23rd						Number not in service
#195	Nov 25th @ 1:17						Number not in service
#196	Nov 23rd	Received		Road traffic & site location			Indicated that she has not read the information and does not plan to - she just doesn't want it - NIMBY
	Nov 23rd						left message and contact info
#197	Nov 25th @ 1:18	Received		Leaving the area and doesn't really care			
#198	Nov 23rd	received			Not interested in any further consultation - thinks this has been blown way out of proportion		
#199	Nov 23rd	Received		Was planning on heading over to HAZCO office to pick up some more information but will call me if he has any questions relating to the EIA			
	Nov 23rd						left message and contact info
#200	Nov 25th @ 1:25						left message and contact info

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
#201	Nov 23rd Nov 25th						left message with the receptionist at hospital - told he is very busy No answering machine - will follow-
	@1:25						up
#202	Nov 23rd	Received		Site location	would like to be kept updated via newsletter sent to mailing address		Very pleasant lady - adopting a "wait and see" attitude
#203	Nov 23rd	Received		No questions or concerns	wants to be kept updated via newsletter sent to mailing address		
#204	Nov 23rd	Received		Worried about trucks & safety, impact on park and animals	keep updated via newsletter sent to mailing address		
	Nov 23rd						left message and contact info
#205	Nov 25th @ 1:30	Received		Spoke to XXX - submitted concerns in writing and didn't want to talk to be about it - explained EIA process and my role but he had little interest in a dialogue	Would like newsletters sent via the mail		
#206	Nov 24th	Spoke to wife or mother?? XXX did not receive information but he is not interested in receiving information		No questions or concerns	No follow-up consultation required		
	Nov 24th						No answer/answering machine
#207	Nov 25th @ 1:38						No answer/answering machine
	Nov 24th						left message and contact info
#208	Nov 25th @ 1:40						left message and contact info

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 24th						Called but no answering machine - follow-up
#209	Nov 25th @ 1:45	Received		Air quality, worried that piles of sulphur are just going to "sit" there, worried about big trucks and property value going down. Can't understand why they chose the location they did, doesn't feel economic benefits outweigh social and environmental costs	Wants updates via newsletter sent in the mail		
#210	Nov 24th						left message and contact info
#210	Nov 25th @ 2:02						left message and contact info
	Nov 24th	Received				Would like to chat on Monday when he has read the information between 9:00 and 10:00 AM	
#211	Nov 27th @ 9:30			Wanted to talk on Monday but didn't answer the phone at the time agreed upon - no machine to leave message			
#212	Nov 24th	Received - Spoke to XXX and he wants a week to review the material - will follow-up				Wanted time to review packge -	Asked me "so are they going ahead with the plant" I explained what stage it's at with respect to the EIA and what that means in the decision making processes but clearly confusion still exists

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact	Information Package	Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 24th						No answering machine
#213	Nov 25th @ 2:07	Received		Hasn't read the package - issues are the same. I explained the EIA and wanted to ensure that their issues were heard but XXX was not forthcoming with information - will contact me if he has any questions	Wants updates via newsletter sent in the mail		
#214	Nov 24th	Received		worried that air monitory stations won't pick up the impacts of plant on air quality because they're located in a valley - regulator issues and development issues in general, worried about property values as well as emergency response if there ever was a chemical fire	Interested in advisory committee	follow-up with advisory committee information	Works for XXX and has some knowledge of sulphur from a fertilizer standpoint. He is concerned and informed but reasonable
#215	Nov 24th						left message and contact info
#216	Nov 24th						tried calling twice but got busy signal each time
#217	Nov 24th						left message and contact info
#218	Nov 24th						left message and contact info

Stakeholder Name	Date of Contact		Questions	Issues / Concerns	Information / Involvement Opportunities	Commitments made / Follow-up required	additional comments
	Nov 24th						no answering machine
#219	Nov 28th @ 2:48	Received		Zzzzzzzz and hasn't had a chance to go over the TOR. I explained EIA process and left her with my contact information so she can call if she has questions/concerns			
#220	Nov 24th						left message and contact info
#221	Nov 24th	Received	No questions	No issues	Not interested in any further consultation		Said she read through the EIA & has no questions or concerns but response was curt and she wanted to know who I worked for. I explained my role again and who I was - she is potentially really upset but knows the "game" and was not interested in wasting her time on me

Hazco Environmental Services - Alberta Sulphur Terminals Project Communication Record

Communication record														
Date / Time Comm							mmunication Type (circle appropriate)							
November 25, 2006						ail Fron					Letter From Stakeholder			
Location						ail To S From S					Letter To Stakeholder			
Calgary						To Sta					Meeting - Group Meeting - Individual			
						1				keholder	Meeting - Public			
Susan Davis Schuetz						ephone	Call To	o Sta	ike.	holder				
Stakeholder Contact Information														
First Name Last Name Title Organization Address City/Town Province Postal code Legal Land Description							Email Address Website Home Telephone Work Telephone Fax Mobile Other			rebsite phone phone Fax Mobile Other				
1/4	SEC:						W	M		Tract No				
1/4	SEC:		TWP:		RGE:		W	M		Tract No				
1/4	SEC:		TWP:	16.00	RGE:		W	M		Tract No				
Stakeholder Type (Circle) Landowner Occo							pant 		Lo	cal elected	d official			
Summary of Discussion														
Beyond the 1.5 km but has concerns with proposed project stakeholder group.														
She would attend an Open House on a Saturday . She would come to a process meeting (would like to be invited) - the 9th of December works best														

Description of Questio	ns or Iss	sues								
has numerous conce close to town, environment			ılues, air quality, smells, rail	traffic, derailm	ent - emergency re	sponse, too				
She has never attended the very angry that Haz			n Houses have been offere to Open Houses as comm							
She has two questions -										
1) Will Hazco cover the d	lifference	in property va	alues if indeed the plant o	causes land va	lue to decrease?					
2) How will the company	y manage	air quality wh	en the wind is unpredict	able (blows in	numerous direct	ions)?				
Is Follow-up Required:	yes	By Whom?	SDS	By When?		- 4				
F/U re: advisory committee	ee – RMC	- complete								
Response(s) to her 2 questions – numerous attempts to reach to provide responses – see Communication										
Log										
Additional Notes										
				ager•astanasta <u>re</u>						
Does this information ac	ccurately	represent our	conversation about this t	opic No	Yes					
E-mail completed	contact		n Davis Schuetz at sda		shaw.ca or fax t	o Ronda				
			ertram at (403) 253-3188							

Communication Record												
Date / Tim	Communication Type (circle appropriate)											
December 2, 2006 Email Fr											Ι	etter From Stakeholder
Location Email To Fax From											Ι	Letter To Stakeholder
Calgary Fax From Fax To Sta												Meeting - Group Meeting - Individual
Project Representative Telephone											r	Meeting - Public
Susan Davis Schuetz Telephone								Sta	iker	nolaer		
Stakeholde	r Contact Inf	ormatic	n									
First Name Last Name Title Organization Address City/Town Province Postal code							Email Address Website Home Telephone Work Telephone Fax Mobile Other					
Legal Land Description												
1/4	SEC:	TWP:		RGE:		w		M		Tract N	Jo.	
1/4	SEC:	TWP:		RGE:		w		M		Tract N	lo.	
1/4	SEC:	TWP:		RGE:		w		M		Tract N	lo.	
Stakeholde	er Type (Circl	le)	Lando	owner r	er Occupant Local elected official							
Summary of Discussion												
the mail (yes whether he l	he had); wheth nad any addition with him about h	er he had nal issues	d had a or con- ould lik	chance t cerns that se to reco	o review at shoul eive inf	w the d be a ormat	info note	o an ed /	nd h ′ do	ad any o cument	ques ed ()	eceived the package of information in tions (no he has not had time); and N/A as he has not reviewed the ToR). I o the project shared that he lives

Hazco Environmental Services - Alberta Sulphur Terminals Project

Description of Questions or Issues									
Numerous issues but mentioned trust of Hazco, cumulative impacts and property values specifically 1) what are the regulatory timelines? 2) will he be notified when the hearing starts? and 3) did Hazco attempt to put this project in other geographical areas? - If yes why did they not stay there? Why are they proposing this current location?									
Is Follow-up Required:	ves	By Whom?	Susan Davis Schuetz / Hazco	By When?	As soon as possible				
A – Send him an email so he had contact info - complete B - Three questions that he wants someone to F/U with him on 1) what are the regulatory timelines? 2) will he be notified when the hearing starts? and 3) did Hazco attempt to put this project in other geographical areas? - If yes why did they not stay there? Why are they proposing this current location? – complete – see Communication Log									
Additional Notes									
					V				
Does this information accurately represent our conversation about this topic No Yes									
E-mail completed contact sheet to Susan Davis Schuetz at sdavisschuetz@shaw.ca or fax to Ronda Bertram at (403) 253-3188									



January 15, 2007



I want to thank you for taking the time to review your concerns with me regarding the Hazco proposed sulphur forming and shipping facility as part of the consultation process regarding the Draft Terms Of Reference (ToR) which have been circulated for comment by Alberta Environment (AENV). In a subsequent telephone discussion, you requested that I summarize my notes and provide a copy to you for your review. The following represents my understanding from our discussions:

Project Impacts and the Draft ToR

In our telephone discussion you noted a number of concerns which I understood that you believe should be included in environmental studies as part of the review process for this project. These concerns included the following:

- 1. Cumulative Effects you noted that the environmental and socio-economic cumulative effects of the Hazco proposed sulphur forming and shipping facility should be included in the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA). You specifically noted the existing industrial facilities and future proposed facilities as well as the need to include these in any cumulative effects studies undertaken by Hazco.
- 2. Effects of a Fire at the proposed facility you noted that air quality impacts on area residents and communities and emergency response plans should be assessed on the basis of the "worst case" scenario of a sulphur fire.
- 3. Air Emissions you identified concerns regarding SO2 emissions (presumably associated with potential sulphur fires as noted above) and H2S emissions associated with the sulphur prilling facilities. You noted that these emissions should be included in the EIA studies.

- 4. Groundwater You noted that the project description currently anticipates utilizing ground water as a source of water for the sulphur forming and shipping facility. You recommended that the impacts to local and regional groundwater supplies should also be assessed as part of EIA studies for the project.
- 5. Impacts to Land Values you noted a view that the sulphur forming and shipping facility will have a negative impact to property values in the area. You recommended that this issue should be addressed in future EIA studies for the project.
- 6. Project Description you shared your frustration with changes in the project description as it is currently scoped in the Draft ToR. Specific changes which you commented on included the amount of sulphur proposed to be processed at the facility and the changing sources for water supply from the Vegreville Water Corridor to groundwater wells.

Other Concerns:

You noted a number of additional frustrations about the project including:

- The changing project scope from that which had been outlined at previous community meetings.
- The current proposed location of the plant between two communities. Your view is that this plant is inconsistent with the best use of lands in the area.
- The company doing the EIA (WorleyParsons Komex) should be independent from the company doing the design work (WorleyParsons MEG).
- The plant should be relocated to an area that is further away from communities such as Bruderheim and Lamont.

Your Letter (undated) to the Director of AENV (date stamped received on December 4, 2006)

In reviewing your letter to AENV, I noticed your reference to our discussion about property values and your understanding as to whether or not I would personally "live or buy next to the plant". I recall that in our conversation you asked if I would live in the area. I do not recall stating that I would not live in proximity to a sulphur forming and shipping facility, but rather that I was not contemplating moving. As I shared with you, I have significant personal knowledge of, and experience with, sulphur forming facilities as the former Environmental Coordinator on the Shell Caroline Project. Based on that experience, I can advise that in the event I was contemplating a move to the area, the presence of a sulphur forming facility such as that proposed by Hazco would not be a barrier to purchasing property.

In conclusion, I would ask that you review the above summary of our discussions and let me know if I have stated the contents of those discussions accurately.

I would again, thank you for your interest in the proposed Hazco sulphur forming and shipping facility, your feedback on the draft ToR, and the opportunity to discuss these matters with me. I look forward to further discussions with you throughout the consultation program associated with Hazco's project.

Sincerely,

Rob McManus President, RMC & Associates

cc: Mr. Park Powell, Alberta Environment

Mr. Robert Mann, HAZCO