**Volume IID, Section 5: Public Consultation** 

**Supporting Documentation Open House (June 2006)** Attachment 1:

### **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

### **LIST OF ATTACHMENTS**

Public Notice of Open House – Advertised in Local Papers (Lamo	nt Leader May 2006)
Invite Letter	
Concerns	
June 6, 2006 Open House Meeting Notes	

#### PUBLIC NOTICE OF OPEN HOUSE

To ensure that citizens and stakeholders who feel they may be directly affected by Alberta Sulphur Terminals (AST) Proposed Sulphur Forming and Handling Facility are provided with the opportunity to learn and ask questions about the proposal, the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process, the proposed Terms of Reference and have their questions, concerns and comments documented, this notice is provided by Hazco Environmental Services and CCS Income Trust.

Alberta Sulphur Terminals (AST) is proposing to construct and operate a Sulphur Forming and Handling Facility in the Industrial Heartland area of Lamont County. The proposed site for the facility is to be located on a portion of Section 35, Township 55, Range 20, west of the 4<sup>th</sup> Meridian approximately 2.2 kilometers east of Bruderheim, Alberta,

Public consultation is an integral part of the project and AST wishes to share information and receive your comments about the project plans and options. In the last several months AST has had discussions about these plans with various government and regulatory agencies as well as interested and concerned citizens in the area. AST has prepared a proposed Terms of Reference document. This document represents our first formal step in the EIA process for the project as well as the beginning of our next and ongoing public consultation process.

AST wants to hear from you in order to ensure that there is a full sharing of information, concerns and ideas relating to the proposed facility. This will help AST address issues, build opportunities with stakeholders and ensure that all concerns and issues are addressed in the proposed Terms of Reference.

We invite you to attend a public information open house hosted by AST, Hazco Environmental Services and CCS Income Trust:

Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2006

Location: Lamont Recreation Center (Hall)

Lamont, Alberta

Time: 6:30 P.M. to 10:00 P.M.

AST representatives and the project team preparing the EIA will be available at the open house to provide updates on the project and the Environmental Impact Assessment preparation and assure that your input is documented and recorded.

Please join us at the open house where we can share more information and incorporate your concerns and ideas into our project plans and the EIA proposed Terms of Reference.

If you wish further information about the open house or if you are unable to attend but wish your comments to be recorded, please contact the Lamont AST office at (780) 895-2570 between the hours of 8:00 A.M. and 4:00 P.M., Monday to Friday or e-mail sholowach@hazco.com

Mr. XXX Mrs. XXX XXXXX Lamont, AB. T0B 2R0

Dear Mr. & Mrs. XXX:

Alberta Sulphur Terminals (AST) is proposing to construct and operate a Sulphur Forming and Handling Facility in the Industrial Heartland area of Lamont County. The proposed site for the facility is to be located on a portion of section 35, Township 55, Range 20, west of the 4<sup>th</sup> Meridian approximately 2.2 kilometers east of Bruderheim, Alberta.

Public consultation is an integral part of the project and AST wishes to share information and receive your comments about the project plans and options. AST wants to hear from you in order to ensure that there is a full sharing of information, concerns and ideas relating to the proposed facility and the Environmental Impact Assessment process. This will help AST address issues, build opportunities with stakeholders and ensure that all concerns and issues are addressed in the EIA proposed Terms of Reference.

## We invite you to attend a public information open house hosted by AST, Hazco Environmental Services and CCS Income Trust:

Date: Tuesday, June 06, 2006

Location: Lamont Recreation Centre (Hall)

Lamont, Alberta Environment

**Time:** 6:30 P.M. to 10:00 P.M.

AST representatives and the project team preparing the EIA will be available to provide updates on the project and the EIA preparation and assure that your input is documented and recorded.

If you wish further information about the open house or if you are unable to attend but wish your comments to be recorded, please contact our Lamont AST office at (780) 895-2570 between 8:00 A.M and 4:00 P.M., Monday to Friday or e-mail sholowach@hazco.com

Yours Truly,

ALBERTA SULPHUR TERMINALS

Don Friesen sh/DF

cc: Alberta Environment Northern Region

No operating experience	Concerns	SECTION						
Improper location should consider alternative   2.20   3.1.2   4.80   Firewater Supply and Balance   3.00   6.00   4.10   Fear about future size   3.00   3.1.2   4.80   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.00   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.00   8.00   Sulphur Block   4.80   Increase rail traffic hazard   3.20   6.00   8.00   Increase rail traffic hazard   3.30   6.00   8.00   School bus safety   3.40   6.00   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.40   8.00   School bus safety   3.40   6.00   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.40   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.60   3.80   4.10   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   3		COVERED						
Improper location should consider alternative								
Improper location should consider alternative	No operating experience	2.10	3.60	8.00				
Firewater Supply and Balance	. • .	2.20	3.1.2	4.80				
Fear about future size   3.00   3.1.2   4.80   Number of employees   3.00   3.40   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.00   3.40   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.00   3.40   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.20   6.00   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.20   3.1.2   4.80   Sulphur Block   4.80   Sulphur Blo				4.10				
Number of employees   3.00   3.40   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.00   3.00   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.20   6.00   8.00   Sulphur Block   3.20   3.1.2   4.80   Increase rail traffic hazard   3.30   6.00   4.90   Increase druck traffic hazard   3.30   6.00   8.00   School bus safety   3.40   8.00   School bus safety   3.40   8.00   Subsurface water pollution   3.50   3.80   4.10   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   3.80   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   3.80   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   3.80   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   3.80   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   3.80   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   3.80   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   3.80   3.20   4.6   3.20   4.6   3.80   3.20   4.6   3.80   3.20   4.6   3.80   3.20   3.70   3.80   3.80   3.20   3.70   3.80   3.80   3.20   3.70   3.80		3.00	3.1.2	4.80				
Sulphur Block   3.00				8.00				
How many Access roads	• •							
Life cycle of plant	•		6.00	8.00				
Increase rail traffic hazard   3.30   6.00   4.90	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Increased truck traffic hazard   3.30   6.00   8.00   8.00   School bus safety   3.40   6.00   8.00   8.00   School bus safety   3.40   6.00   8.00   8.00   School bus safety   3.40   8.00   8.00   School bus safety   3.40   8.00   School bus delays   3.50   3.80   4.10   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   School bus delays   3.50   3.80   4.10   3.1.2   3.2   3.70   School bus delays   3.60   6.00   3.20   4.6   School bus delays   3.60   3.20   3.20   3.70   School bus delays   3.60   3.20   3.70	·							
School bus safety       3.40       6.00       8.00         Inadequate rail crossings       3.40       8.00         Rail causing road delays       3.40       8.00         Subsurface water pollution       3.50       3.80       4.10       3.1.2       3.2       3.70         Surface Water Pollution       3.50       3.80       4.10       3.1.2       3.2       3.70         H2S emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6         SO2 emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6         SO2 emissions from plant property through rust       3.60       3.20       8.00         Dust control on pastille load out       3.60       3.20       3.70         Emissions from plant entering hospital       3.60       3.80       4.60         Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6         H2S abatement       3.60       3.70       4.6       4.6         Smell       3.60       3.70       4.6       4.6         Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00       3.60       4.20       4.6         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in are								
Inadequate rail crossings   3.40   8.00								
Rail causing road delays       3.40       8.00         Subsurface water pollution       3.50       3.80       4.10       3.1.2       3.2       3.70         Surface Water Pollution       3.50       3.80       4.10       3.1.2       3.2       3.70         H2S emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6         SO2 emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6         Damage to personal property through rust       3.60       3.20       8.00         Dust control on pastille load out       3.60       3.20       3.70         Emissions from plant entering hospital       3.60       3.20       3.70         Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6         H2S abatement       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6         Smell       3.60       3.70       4.6       4.6         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td>								
Subsurface water pollution       3.50       3.80       4.10       3.1.2       3.2       3.70         Surface Water Pollution       3.50       3.80       4.10       3.1.2       3.2       3.70         H2S emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6       3.20       3.70         SO2 emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6       4.6       4.6         Damage to personal property through rust       3.60       3.20       8.00       3.70       4.6         Dust control on pastille load out       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6       4.6         Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6       4.6         Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6       4.6         H2S abatement       3.60       3.70       4.6								
Surface Water Pollution       3.50       3.80       4.10       3.1.2       3.2       3.70       3.70         H2S emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6 <td< td=""><td></td><td></td><td></td><td>4.10</td><td>3.1.2</td><td>3.2</td><td>3.70</td><td></td></td<>				4.10	3.1.2	3.2	3.70	
H2S emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6         SO2 emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6         Damage to personal property through rust       3.60       3.20       8.00         Dust control on pastille load out       3.60       3.20       3.70         Emissions from plant entering hospital       3.60       3.80       4.60         Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6         H2S abatement       3.60       3.70       4.6       4.6         Smell       3.60       3.70       4.60       4.6         Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00       3.60       4.20       4.6         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       8.00       3.2         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00								5
SO2 emissions       3.60       6.00       3.20       4.6         Damage to personal property through rust       3.60       3.20       8.00         Dust control on pastille load out       3.60       3.20       3.70         Emissions from plant entering hospital       3.60       3.80       4.60         Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6         H2S abatement       3.60       3.70       4.60       4.6         Smell       3.60       3.70       4.60       4.6         Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00       3.60       4.20       4.6         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.2       3.2         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00						0.2	0.10	
Damage to personal property through rust       3.60       3.20       8.00         Dust control on pastille load out       3.60       3.20       3.70         Emissions from plant entering hospital       3.60       3.80       4.60         Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6         H2S abatement       3.60       3.70       4.60         Smell       3.60       3.70       4.60         Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00       3.60       4.20       4.6         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.20       3.2         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00								
Dust control on pastille load out       3.60       3.20       3.70         Emissions from plant entering hospital       3.60       3.80       4.60         Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6         H2S abatement       3.60       3.70       4.60         Smell       3.60       3.70       4.60         Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00       3.60       4.20       4.6         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.2       3.2         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00								
Emissions from plant entering hospital       3.60       3.80       4.60         Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6         H2S abatement       3.60       3.70       4.60         Smell       3.60       3.70       4.60         Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00       3.60       4.20         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.2       3.2         Light pollution       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00								
Fine particulate emission       3.60       3.20       3.70       4.6         H2S abatement       3.60       3.70       4.60         Smell       3.60       3.70       4.60         Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00       3.60       4.20       4.6         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.2       3.2       3.2         Light pollution       4.70       3.20       8.00       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00	•							
H2S abatement       3.60         Smell       3.60         Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30         Light Pollution       4.70         Light pollution       4.70         Noise by rail traffic       4.70         Noise by truck traffic       4.70         3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·				4.6			
Smell       3.60       3.70       4.60         Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00       3.60       4.20       4.6         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.2       3.2         Light pollution       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00	•		0.20	0.10				
Air Model does not cover full scope of project       4.00       3.60       4.20       4.6         Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.2       3.2         Light pollution       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00			3.70	4 60				
Runoff water, Peaks and Volumes       4.10       3.20       4.80         Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.2         Light pollution       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00					4.6			
Micro Climate in area FAP #45 is 75 M higher       4.30       3.20       3.60       4.2       4.6         Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.2       3.2         Light pollution       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00								
Light Pollution       4.70       3.20       3.2         Light pollution       4.70       3.20         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00					42	4.6		
Light pollution       4.70       3.20         Noise by rail traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00         Noise by truck traffic       4.70       3.20       8.00	<u> </u>			0.00		1.0		
Noise by rail traffic  Noise by truck traffic  4.70  4.70  3.20  8.00  8.00  8.00	<u> </u>				J.2			
Noise by truck traffic 4.70 3.20 8.00	o .			8.00				
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Animal 4.90 4.60	·		4.60	0.00				
Decreased quality of grain 4.90 4.60								
Ecological disturbances 4.90 3.1.2 3.70 4.2 4.6	. , ,			3.70	4 2	4.6		
Natural areas 4.90 3.70 4.20 4.6						7.0		
Negative impact on Elk Island National Park  4.90  4.20  4.60  4.60								

Concerns	SECTION							
Soil pollution	4.90	3.20	4.60	4.8				
Vegetation	4.90	4.60						
Sulphur fires	6.00	3.00	3.60					
Systems not adequate to control fires	6.00	3.00	3.60					
Rail cars spilling Sulphur from Lamont to Vancouver	6.00	6.00	3.40					
Allergies	6.00	4.60						
Cancer	6.00	4.60						
Chronic disease	6.00	4.60						
Emphysema	6.00	4.60						
Heart condition	6.00	4.60						
Hospital closes at 8:00 PM no medical attn. available	6.00	3.10	3.40	4.2	4.6			
Lung disease	6.00	4.60						
Mental health	6.00	4.60						
Molten sulphur Spill	6.00	3.40						
Multiple Sclerosis	6.00	4.60						
Need details of ERP and Worst case scenario	6.00	3.10	3.40	3.8	4.2	4.6		
No ERP	6.00	3.10	3.40	3.7	3.8	4.20		
No Public Health Assessment	6.00	3.10	3.80	4.2	4.6			
Pulmonary obstructive lung disease	6.00	4.60						
Sleep disorders	6.00	4.60						
Stress	6.00	4.60						
Sulphur and sodium chlorate incompatibility	6.00	3.70	3.80	4.2				
Volunteer firefighters unable to deal with first response	6.00	3.10	3.40	4.6	8			
White muscle disease in calves	6.00	4.60						
Aesthetics	8.00	3.1.2	3.60	4.6	8			
Land size purchased in excess of requirement	8.00	3.1.2						
Loss of property value	8.00	3.1.2						
Negatively affects standard of living	8.00	3.1.2	4.80	8				
No economic benefit to county	8.00	3.1.2	4.80	8				
Population decrease	8.00	3.1.2	4.80	8				
Population density to high close to plant	8.00	3.1.2	4.80	8				
Retard further industrial growth	8.00	3.1.2	4.80	8				
School closures	8.00	3.1.2	4.80	8				
Social disintegration of community	8.00	3.1.2	4.80	8				
Stigma around Sulphur	8.00							
Sulphur pipeline								

# Summary of the EIA Open House held on June 06, 2006 At the Lamont Recreation Centre

Alberta Sulphur Terminals (AST) hosted a Public Information Session on the evening of June 06, 2006 as the first step of the EIA Process. The session was held at the Lamont Recreation Centre with commencement at 6:30. Sharps Audio provided the sound and recording system. In attendance representing AST / Hazco were Don Friesen, Rob Mann, Sylvia Holowach, Diane Dreshner, Lara Wegleitner & Alison Walker. Also present was Mr. Gordon Johnson with Worley Parsons Komex.

Mr. Friesen brought the evening to order @ app. 6:45 pm with a brief power point and corporate overview.

Mr. Mann then took the floor with a power point presentation of the project and a detailed list of issues that AST has already recognized and included in the Draft Terms of Reference.

Mr. Mann's presentation was followed by a power point presentation and explanation of the EIA Process and an overview of issues that would be covered in the AST Draft Terms of Reference. He also spoke about the requirements of the proponent and the public at large in reference to issues and concerns.

After conclusion of Mr. Johnson's talk he opened the floor to the public in attendance.

#### In attendance were:

XXX XXX XXX XXX XXX XXX XXX XXX	Industry Adjacent Land Owners Reporter, Lamont Leader Lamont County Lamont County Land Owner Land Owner Town of Lamont, Elected Official Industry Industry Land Owner Industry Adjacent Land Owner Residents Town of Lamont Industry Land Owner Land Owner Land Owner Land Owner Land Owner Land Owner Description:
XXX	Land Owner