

# **Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts**

**Bridge Engineering Section  
Technical Standards Branch  
Alberta Transportation**

**January, 2014**

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

The information contained in this Document has been compiled for use and guidance on Alberta Transportation projects, to supplement existing design practices. It is intended that the use of these guidelines will help to ensure that safe, consistent and appropriate standards are adopted throughout the provincial highway network. It is not intended to be used as a sole source for design, or to be a substitute for engineering design or judgement.

It is the responsibility of those using this information to ensure that it is suitable for their use and to supplement it as necessary. The design of the project must be in accordance with all relevant codes, current engineering practices and specifications. It is the responsibility of the engineer to ensure that all provincial and federal permits and licences are obtained and that work is carried out in accordance with the terms and conditions of those permits and licences.

Alberta Transportation assumes no responsibility for errors or omissions and will not accept liability of any nature whatsoever that may be suffered by use of the information contained in this document.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

1.1	INTRODUCTION .....	1
1.2	CONSTRUCTION (OR 'P') DRAWINGS.....	1
1.3	RIGHT-OF-WAY AND EASEMENTS .....	2
1.4	HYDROTECHNICAL DESIGN FLOW .....	3
1.5	CULVERT SIZING .....	3
1.6	FISH PASSAGE.....	4
1.7	BURIAL DEPTH.....	5
1.8	SCOUR/EROSION PROTECTION.....	5
1.9	BACKFILL MATERIAL FOR FLEXIBLE CULVERTS.....	6
1.10	STRUCTURAL BACKFILL ENVELOPE FOR FLEXIBLE CULVERTS .....	7
1.11	CLAY SEALS .....	8
1.12	ROAD GEOMETRICS .....	8
1.13	ROADWAY WIDTH.....	9
1.14	SIDESLOPES .....	9
1.15	LENGTH OF CSP & SPCSP STRUCTURES .....	10
1.16	MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS FOR STEEL CULVERTS .....	10
1.17	BEVEL ENDS .....	11
1.18	GEOTECHNICAL CAMBER .....	12
1.19	BED PRESHAPING .....	12
1.20	CONCRETE END TREATMENT .....	13
1.21	SPACING OF MULTIPLE CULVERTS .....	14
1.22	SITE INSPECTIONS DURING INSTALLATION.....	15
1.23	WATERPROOFING CONCRETE STRUCTURES.....	16
1.24	EXTENSIONS.....	16
1.25	LOW LEVEL CROSSINGS .....	17
1.26	CATTLE PASSES.....	18
1.27	OPENING MARKERS.....	18
1.28	CORROSION SURVEY .....	19
1.29	CULVERT DESIGN PROCESS.....	20
1.30	SITE SURVEY REQUIREMENTS .....	20
1.31	FREQUENTLY USED STANDARD DRAWINGS .....	20

# Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

## 1.1 INTRODUCTION

The Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts have been developed by Alberta Transportation (AT), and are based on International & National Codes of Practice, Manuals, Technical Books and Papers, etc. A 'best practice' approach has been used to incorporate practical design and construction experience that has been developed by Alberta Transportation and their consultants over the years. It is anticipated that the use of these Guidelines will result in the uniform design and construction of culverts throughout the province of Alberta. It should be noted that subsequent guidelines/design bulletins/best practice guidelines may be published, which would supersede the information presented in this guideline.

Each design topic is presented in three parts:

- 1) Background: to serve as an introduction to the issue, to review current practices, and to identify any concerns that have been identified.
- 2) Considerations: a brief summary of the engineering concerns that were reviewed prior to making recommendations are outlined. It is recognized that site specific factors additional to those identified may exist that could affect design recommendations.
- 3) Recommendations: typically these should be considered a minimum desirable standard. However, it is recognized that for some situations, it may be desirable to reduce (or enhance) a standard.

## 1.2 CONSTRUCTION (OR 'P') DRAWINGS

### **Background:**

Historically, culverts were installed by in-house Bridge Crews using Culvert Authorizations, with design information being provided in the form of sketches, written instructions, or site specific 'P' drawings. A substantial amount of supplementary design information was also provided on the standard drawing S-1418, "Installation of Large Steel Pipes". Since AT currently outsources culvert design and construction, it is appropriate that the process for handling the design and installation be reviewed.

### **Considerations:**

- Site specific drawings provide an accurate representation of actual conditions (channel slope, geometry, geotechnical conditions, etc.), and can emphasize the size and/or complexity of a structure when required.
- Drawings substantially reduce ambiguities that cannot be clarified easily through written instructions.

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

- Culverts may be installed by an inexperienced workforce.
- "As constructed" details provide useful records for future maintenance and design.

### **Recommendations:**

- In addition to the current version of standard drawing S-1418 'Installation of Large Metal Pipes', a site specific 'P' drawing(s) should be produced for all bridge size culverts.
- Drawing S1418 is not applicable to bridge culverts of greater than 3000mm diameter.
- All details shown on the 'P' drawing should be to scale.
- Drafting standards and standard details shall be in accordance with section 2 of the "[Engineering Drafting Guidelines for Highway and Bridge Projects](#)".

## **1.3 RIGHT-OF-WAY AND EASEMENTS**

### **Background:**

The department can be held responsible for problems whose cause can be attributed to, or associated with, the structure. Construction or maintenance at a culvert site generally results in disturbance of stream banks and/or streambed. As such, there is a tendency for erosion to occur adjacent to a structure. Streams, in general, are considered active features which evolve in time, often time independently of any manmade infrastructure. Such processes include lateral stream mobility, degradation, and bed sediment transport.

### **Considerations:**

- Ensure structure(s) and associated protection works are not on private property.
- Ensure right of way for construction entry and maintenance.
- During design, allow for natural process, such as lateral movement of the watercourse.
- Shape of the right of way should be convenient for surveying and tying-in.
- Future construction such as road widening, or slope improvement.

### **Recommendations:**

- Right of way or easement should be acquired for the structure, associated protection works, and future maintenance. This should be shown on site specific 'P' drawings and/or stated in the written instructions.
- In general, an allowance of approximately 5.0 m should be taken beyond the limits of protection work when establishing the right of way area. The shape of

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

the area should be kept simple and defined by limits that can be conveniently tied into the survey.

### 1.4 HYDROTECHNICAL DESIGN FLOW

#### **Background:**

In order to properly size a bridge culvert, it is necessary to determine the design flow or range of flows that the culvert is expected to operate under.

#### **Considerations:**

- Drainage Area
- Channel Geometry
- Historical Information
- Topographic Survey Information
- Basin runoff Potential
- Channel Capacity

#### **Recommendations:**

The design flow for bridge size culverts is to be estimated as per section 2.2 of the current version of the AT "[Bridge Conceptual Design Guidelines](#)". This document outlines the use of three techniques: Channel Capacity, Historic Highwater Observations, and Basin Runoff Potential in determining hydrotechnical design parameters, namely flow depth (Y), mean channel velocity (V) and flow (Q).

### 1.5 CULVERT SIZING

#### **Background:**

Sizing of bridge size culverts based on hydrotechnical design parameters requires consideration of the expected performance, cost, and associated risks of various culvert options under design flow conditions. Fixed rules such as specified amounts of freeboard or degrees of constriction can be easily applied to determine a culvert size but do not necessarily optimize the crossing dimensions or take all of the site specific factors into account.

#### **Considerations:**

- Hydrotechnical design parameters
- Cost
- Potential flooding impacts (land use, AADT)
- Fish passage
- End protection works
- Geotechnical conditions (uplift, slope stability)

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

- Barrel opening blockage (drift, beaver dams, icing)
- Future maintenance/rehabilitation (lining for high traffic roads/high fills)

### **Recommendations:**

Guidance on sizing of culverts can be found in section 2.3 of the current version of the AT "[Bridge Conceptual Design Guidelines](#)".

## 1.6 FISH PASSAGE

### **Background:**

The department supports the initiative that culverts on fish bearing streams should be designed to allow for the movement of fish.

### **Considerations:**

- Provincial *Water Act*,
- Federal *Fisheries Act* (DFO requirements),
- Minimizing environmental impact,
- QAES assessment,
- Culvert velocities,
- Culvert embedment (burial depth)

### **Recommendations:**

Guidance on assessment of fish passage at culverts can be found in section 2.3.4 of the current version of the AT "[Bridge Conceptual Design Guidelines](#)".

For sites where substrate and holders are considered to reduce velocities, the following parameters are recommended:

- *Substrate holder should be made of steel and conform to the shape of the pipe up to the desired height.*
- *Height of substrate holder should be 0.3m (0.2m if pipe diameter < 3m)*
- *Spacing of holders should be in the range of height divided by slope of pipe (minimum spacing = 7m)*
- *Substrate should be Class 1M or Class 1 rock, with an average thickness matching the height of the holder.*
- *Substrate and holders are only required for portions of the pipe where the mean velocity exceeds the mean channel velocity (typically the upstream portion of the pipe).*

### 1.7 BURIAL DEPTH

#### **Background:**

Historically, culverts were installed to match the existing streambed elevation, oftentimes with little or no end protection works. With many Alberta streams being classified as "geologically young", the bed of these streams naturally degrade as the stream matures. As well, culvert installation can result in locally increased velocities at the culvert outlet. The combination of these factors has, in the past, led to scour holes, bank erosion and 'hanging' or perched outlets developing, resulting in a permanent barrier to upstream fish passage.

#### **Considerations:**

- Natural stream maturation (degradation).
- Perched outlets or piping,
- Velocities at the culvert outlet.
- Hydraulic efficiency of culvert,
- Costs.

#### **Recommendations:**

Culvert inverts should be buried one quarter of the rise ( $D/4$ ) below the average natural streambed up to a maximum depth of 1 m. Exceptions to the recommended burial depth may be considered when site specific features require special attention. This may include reducing the burial depth if competent bedrock is encountered.

### 1.8 SCOUR/EROSION PROTECTION

#### **Background:**

At some culvert sites (particularly older ones), erosion protection is not in place resulting in scour and/or erosion issues. These issues can lead to such problems as impeded fish passage, scour holes, piping, and slope failure. Unless remedial measures are taken, the culvert's structural integrity may be compromised, potentially leading to failure.

#### **Considerations:**

- Design hydrotechnical parameters,
- Culvert velocities,
- Streambed material and susceptibility to scour/erosion.
- Embankment slopes
- Fish Passage



### **Recommendations:**

Guidelines for river protection works at culvert ends can be found in section 2.4 of the current version of the AT "[Bridge Conceptual Design Guidelines](#)". Specifications for rock riprap and geotextile can be found in Chapter 10 of the "[Standard Specifications for Bridge Construction](#)". The current version of standard drawing S-1418 should be used as a guideline for minimum requirements and typical layout.

## **1.9 BACKFILL MATERIAL FOR FLEXIBLE CULVERTS**

### **Background:**

Structural integrity of flexible culverts comes from the backfill material placed around the structure and the manner in which it is placed. Structural issues arising in culverts are often the result of unsuitable backfill material (unacceptable gradation, plasticity, moisture content, etc.), poor compaction, or the use of frozen material, all experienced during the construction phase. Structural integrity can also be compromised by such instances as freeze/thaw cycles, piping, and inlet/outlet scour. When selecting a backfill material, it should be recognized that granular material has higher shear strength, compacts more readily, and requires less control or effort to place than clay material.

### **Considerations:**

- Compaction and moisture content,
- Shear strength,
- Bearing strength, settlement, and consolidation,
- Drainage ,
- Potential for frost heave (soil plasticity),
- Temperature during installation.

### **Recommendations:**

Approved granular backfill should be placed around the barrel of all flexible culverts to form a structural backfill envelope along with an approved clay material to form seals. Refer to the current version of the "[Standard Specifications for Bridge Construction](#)", Section 2 'Backfill' and/or the current version of standard drawing S-1418, for the required gradation and quality control for backfill materials.

**Note:** *The use of only crushed aggregate material for the backfill envelop may be considered if any of the following conditions exist:*

- *Little or no difference in price between pit run gravel and crushed aggregate,*
- *Quality of locally available pit run is known to be of poor quality,*

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

- *High cover and/or weak foundation material,*
- *Presence of natural springs in the material above the culvert (can be problematic during freeze/thaw cycles).*
- *Corrosive environment*

### 1.10 STRUCTURAL BACKFILL ENVELOPE FOR FLEXIBLE CULVERTS

#### **Background:**

In addition to using compacted granular fill on a firm foundation, the shape and size of the backfill envelope is a critical factor in ensuring structural integrity of a flexible culvert. The shape shown on the current version of standard drawing S-1418 has performed well under “normal” conditions. Special consideration to the structural envelope must be taken with large diameter (greater than 3.0 m) culverts and/or adverse geotechnical conditions exist.

#### **Considerations:**

- Size of backfill envelope,
- Practical installation procedures,
- Bearing capacity of foundation,
- Economics vs. structural performance.

#### **Recommendations:**

- In general, the backfill envelope shape shown on the current version of standard drawing S-1418 should be used for flexible culverts.
- For structures with an equivalent diameter of 3000 mm or less, the shape and dimensions shown on drawing S-1418 should be used.
- For structures with an equivalent diameter between 3000 mm and 4500 mm, a similar, but structurally enhanced envelope should be used and specified on site specific drawings. Enhancements could include increased width and/or depth of excavation and/or increased thickness of granular material above the crown.
- For structures with an equivalent diameter greater than 4500 mm, a site specific backfill shape should be designed.
- When soft foundations exist, the use of a woven geotextile filter fabric at the base of the excavation between the clay seals, as shown on the current version of standard drawing S-1418 is recommended. Woven geotextile filter fabric specifications are listed in section 18 of the “[Standard Specifications for Bridge Construction](#)”.

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

### 1.11 CLAY SEALS

#### Background:

From a strength perspective, it is desirable to have compacted granular material placed around a flexible culvert structure especially beneath roadway travel lanes. Clay seals are typically provided at the ends of culverts to impede seepage around the exterior walls of the culvert and prevent piping. However, on large diameter culverts, especially those with low to medium cover, clay seals may extend beneath the roadway a significant amount.

#### Considerations:

- Potential for piping to occur,
- Competency of granular backfill,
- Potential for frost heave,
- Attainability of suitable clay material.

#### Recommendations:

- At natural streams, where cover is greater than  $\text{span}/2.25$ , clay seals should be constructed as per the current version of standard drawing S-1418.
- At sites where cover is less than or equal to  $\text{span}/2.25$ , or where suitable clay material is unattainable, clay seals as per standard drawing S1418 with a modified slope interface should be installed. The slope interface between the clay and the granular backfill material should be placed at 1H: 2V.

### 1.12 ROAD GEOMETRICS

#### Background:

Oftentimes, the design of a culvert also includes the design of the approach roadway over the culvert. The road geometric parameters should be optimized to consider AADT, road use, costs, location, etc.

#### Considerations:

- Current and potential traffic volumes,
- Initial and future construction (detours, culvert extensions, etc.),
- Costs,
- User safety,
- Future roadway classification

#### Recommendations:

Guidelines for the optimization of roadway design related to a culvert crossing can be found in section 3.2 of the current version of the AT "[Bridge Conceptual Design Guidelines](#)".

### 1.13 ROADWAY WIDTH

#### **Background:**

In general, the design width for roads is based on roadway design life of 15 to 20 years whereas culvert structures are based on a design life of 50 years or more. Extending the length of an existing metal culvert due to road widening has resulted in structural and fish passage issues, oftentimes developing from the original culvert installation. If a barrier system is proposed for a culvert crossing, the roadway width should be adjusted to account for it.

#### **Considerations:**

- Provision of additional width for future widening,
- Land impacts/Right of Way needs
- Safety
- Design speed/AADT
- Desirable clearzone/barrier warrants
- Fill height (construction costs/accessibility)
- Shy distance if guardrail installed,
- Economics of installing a longer culvert now versus lengthening in the future

#### **Recommendations:**

- Provide additional length if future widening has been identified.
- If a barrier system is proposed, the roadway width should be adjusted to account for shy offset to the barrier, barrier dimensions, and lateral support and/or deflection distance behind the barrier.

### 1.14 SIDESLOPES

#### **Background:**

For economic reasons, older culverts were often constructed with sideslopes steeper than 3:1. Problems such as slumping and crushed bevel ends have been identified at older culvert sites. This is often associated with poor foundations and/or unstable sideslopes.

#### **Considerations:**

- Safety of the travelling public and roadside obstacles,
- Slope stability and/or structural integrity,
- Economy, (guardrail protection versus clear zone limits),
- AADT/road classification,
- Aesthetics,
- Access path above structure (wildlife, pedestrians, etc.)

### **Recommendations:**

A minimum sideslope of 3:1 is to be used for culvert sideslopes. A 4:1 sideslope (recoverable) with no guardrail should be evaluated. In some cases, a 4:1 slope through the clear zone, followed by 3:1 outside the clear zone may be optimal (no guardrail). The minimum horizontal distance between the edge of the shoulder and the end of the barrel is 4.0 m.

### **1.15 LENGTH OF CSP & SPCSP STRUCTURES**

#### **Background:**

Structure length calculations are based on subgrade width and elevation, sideslopes, berms, bevel ends, invert elevations, slope, skew, and other site specific details, as applicable.

#### **Considerations:**

- Roadway width ,
- Future roadway upgrades including overlays,
- Roadway geometrics,
- Sideslope stability,
- Bevel ends,
- Burial depth,
- Fill height,
- Site specific details.

#### **Recommendations:**

- Use the recommendations concerning sideslopes, berms, bevel ends and burial depth given elsewhere in this Guideline. Refer to the current version of

### **1.16 MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS FOR STEEL CULVERTS**

#### **Background:**

Various codes (AASHTO, CSA, SCI) have proposed a range of minimum cover requirements for soil steel structures.

#### **Considerations:**

- Live load impact effect on structures with cover less than 600 mm,
- Questionable shear strength of shallow depth of soil over crown (particularly on horizontal ellipsed culverts),
- Potentially reduced cover due to rutting,
- Top plate bending, and not ring compression, may develop in long span culverts as defined by AASHTO Clause 12.6,

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

- Minimum cover for construction equipment,
- Alberta Transportation has adopted the provisions of Canadian Highway Bridge Design Code (CHBDC) “CAN/CSA-S6-06” for the design of culverts: “Unless noted otherwise the design live load is CL 800 plus Dynamic Load Allowance.”

### **Recommendations:**

- Minimum cover provided shall be in accordance with the requirements of the current version of the CHBDC or 600mm, whichever is the greater. The minimum cover should be taken as the least dimension between the crown of the culvert and the edge of the shoulders.
- If the highway is to be paved within the same construction season that the culvert is installed, then pavement structure depth may be considered as cover provided that a factor of safety of 1.5 is maintained during paving operations.
- For strength requirements during construction, refer to Clause 7.6.2.3 of the CHBDC. Where the above criteria cannot be met, special considerations to mitigate the lack of cover (such as a concrete distribution slab) may be required. These recommendations do not apply to concrete structures.

## **1.17 BEVEL ENDS**

### **Background:**

Bevel ends retain the sideslopes and transition slopes at the ends of a culvert, and are designed to enhance hydraulic performance by minimizing entrance and exit losses. They also provide an aesthetically pleasing termination to a structure.

### **Considerations:**

- Stability of sideslopes, transition slopes and protection works,
- Aesthetics and serviceability,
- Termination of fences,
- Difficulty in pouring concrete,
- Temporary support for large diameter CSPs.

### **Recommendations:**

- Bevel slopes should be no steeper than 2:1 for stream culverts.
- Depending on site specific geometry, or fencing requirements, use square ends, 1:1 or 2:1 for terminating cattlepass culverts.
- All bevels are to be cut perpendicular to the longitudinal axis (i.e. do not cut top arc on a skew).

## 1.18 GEOTECHNICAL CAMBER

### Background:

Many older culverts have sagged over the centre sections of their length, often leading to ponding within the barrel section. These situations are most evident at sites with high fill, poor foundations, or yielding ground.

### Considerations:

- Ponding at culverts,
- Site specific geotechnical information,
- Fill height,
- Backfill material,
- Settlement and/or consolidation (if not previously loaded),
- Positive and negative settlement on large diameter culverts.

### Recommendations:

Complete a geotechnical investigation and undertake foundation design including anticipated settlements, camber requirements, etc. if any of the following conditions apply:

- Embankment height above the existing ground is greater than 6 metres and the foundation material has not been previously pre-consolidated.
- Foundation and/or embankment material is known, or suspected to be, poor.
- Proposed culvert diameter is greater than 4.5 m.
- A life expectancy in excess of 50 years is highly desirable (high AADT, high fills, strategic crossings, long detours, etc.)

Define the camber requirements by calculating the required amount for at least five stations along the stream bed.

## 1.19 BED PRESHAPING

### Background:

Prior to placing the bottom plates, a layer of loose, fine granular material matching the curvature of the bottom plates is usually placed between the inside faces of the clay seals. Bed preshaping reduces pipe deflections during assembly, minimizes pipe rotation during backfilling, and helps ensure uniform contact between the bottom surface of the pipe and the bed.

### Considerations:

- Flexibility during assembly,
- Difficulty in placing and compacting,
- Rotation during backfilling,
- Improved uniform contact between bed material and pipe.

**Recommendations:**

- A preshaped bed should be used for the installation of round culverts with a diameter greater than 3.0 m, horizontally ellipsed culverts, and shapes with flat radius bottom plates.
- Prior to placing the bottom plates, a layer of loose, fine granular material (200 mm thick) should be placed above the granular portion of the bed to match the curvature of the plates over the preshaped bed. Clay seals at culvert ends should be shaped similarly before bottom plate placement.
- It should be ensured that granular material does not penetrate through the clay seals.

**1.20 CONCRETE END TREATMENT**

**Background:**

Uplift forces from hydrostatic pressures have in the past resulted in culvert failure due to bending as well as ‘hanging outlets’. Contributors to this issue include dead load reductions at culvert ends (amount of fill), structurally inferior ends, scour/erosion issues, and construction issues. Pipe ends have also known to become damaged/deformed from maintenance activities such as grass cutting.

**Considerations:**

- Potential for piping,
- Potential for uplift ,
- Slope stability,
- Icing and/or drift problems,
- Reduction of hydraulic losses,
- End stiffening,
- Aesthetics.

**Recommendations:**

The following table should be used as guidance. The equivalent diameter (D) is

to be based on the total pipe area (A);  $D = \sqrt{\frac{4A}{\pi}}$

<b>Equivalent Pipe Diameter (m)</b>		
< 3.0	3.0 to 4.5	> 4.5
Should consider concrete end treatment if: (a) Sideslopes and/or	Typically provide concrete end treatment at the upstream end only.	Provide concrete end treatment at



## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

<p>transition slopes prone to sliding,</p> <p>(b) Heavy ice or ice jams are likely,</p> <p>(c) Potential for ponding to occur,</p> <p>(d) Drift problems likely.</p> <p>(e) Steep culvert slope.</p>	<p>Provide concrete end treatment at both ends under the following conditions:</p> <p>(a) If velocities are greater than 2.0 x average stream velocity under design conditions</p> <p>(b) For aesthetic reasons.</p>	<p>both ends.</p>
--	--	-------------------

**Note:** Concrete end treatment to be constructed in accordance with the current version of standard drawings S-1444 and S-1445.

### 1.21 SPACING OF MULTIPLE CULVERTS

#### Background:

Multiple culvert installation can be an appropriate and acceptable engineering solution in low cover situations, for wide channels, or in culvert icing situations. Minimizing culvert spacing to reduce the amount of granular and clay material needed while still maintaining structural integrity is desirable.

#### Considerations:

- Gradeline (low cover, unbalanced loads),
- Channel Geometry,
- Cost,
- Passage of drift,
- Icing,
- Fish passage,
- Construction
- Skewed and/or staggered culverts

#### Recommendations:

- Multiple culverts should be a good fit to the natural channel (i.e. equivalent bed width created should be similar to that of the natural channel bed). Flow expansion should be avoided.
- Overflow culverts outside of the natural channel (typically placed at a higher elevation or lateral distance away) should be considered when icing concerns are noted.
- Horizontal spacing between adjacent culverts should be at least 1.0 m or span/3 of the larger span, whichever is the greater.
- Placement and compaction of crushed granular material (Des. 2, Class 40) between pipes should be in accordance with drawings and specifications.
-

## 1.22 SITE INSPECTIONS DURING INSTALLATION

### **Background:**

Historically, culverts were designed by the Department, and installed by Road Authorities using their own forces, contractors, or bridge crews. Currently, the design, tender, and construction of all Provincial bridge structures are performed by Consultants.

Metal culverts are complex structures that rely heavily on the surrounding backfill for structural integrity. Under loading, the flexible metal pipe deflects slightly, and through this movement transmits radial forces to the surrounding backfill. This interaction results in the development of ring compression in the pipe, leading to a state of static equilibrium. The soil component of this 'system' provides the majority of the load carrying capacity. As long as the integrity of the surrounding backfill remains, the culvert will perform satisfactorily.

Poor installation practices have led to foundation and backfill failures, resulting in excessive bending, deflection, or ultimate structural failure of the pipe. Typically these problems result in remedial action, and/or premature replacement of the structure. There are several factors which contribute to a poor installation including an inexperienced workforce, unstable or weak foundation material, poor bed preparation, inappropriate or frozen backfill material, poor compaction, incorrect assembly of plates etc. Past experience has shown that one of the most effective methods of combating the likelihood of these problems is to ensure that an appropriate level of inspection is carried out at all stages of the culvert installation.

### **Considerations:**

- Quality control
- Cost
- Performance and structural integrity
- Future maintenance

### **Recommendations:**

The Consultant shall provide bridge construction services as outlined in the [Engineering Consultant Guidelines Vol. 2](#) and as per the current version of the [Bridge Construction Inspection Manual](#).

## 1.23 WATERPROOFING CONCRETE STRUCTURES

### Background:

Protecting structures from the corrosive effects of de-icing salt is an ongoing issue. Measures currently being taken to protect concrete structures include waterproofing systems, protective sealers, extra cover for reinforcement and epoxy coated reinforcement. Exterior surfaces of buried concrete structures typically receive a protective sealer which has been considered adequate to date. Concern has been expressed that over time buried concrete structures under low fill situations may be adversely affected by salt to the same degree as concrete decks.

### Considerations:

- Future maintenance,
- Inability to inspect exterior concrete surfaces or those covered by structural plates.

### Recommendations:

- Apply an approved Type 1C sealer (see [Alberta Transportation Products List](#)) to all surfaces that may be in contact with de-icing salts. The sealer shall be applied in accordance with section 4 of the [Standard Specifications for Bridge Construction](#). All elements requiring a sealer should be identified in a contract special provision.
- Consider the use of corrosion resistant reinforcement or increased cover for exposed concrete elements (headwalls, curbs, etc.) where de-icing salts are likely to be used.

## 1.24 EXTENSIONS

### Background:

Placement of additional fill over an existing culvert can lead to problems such as further settlement of the embankment and/or foundation. The resulting redistribution of loads can cause the pipe to become distressed, resulting in cracked seams or barrel deformation. Once in contact with soil, a culvert will start to lose its galvanic protective coating, with the amount and rate depending on the culvert age and soil corrosion potential. When a culvert extension is placed, dissimilar metals (with different degrees of galvanic protection) come into contact. This can result in a corrosion cell, causing more rapid corrosion in the area of contact between the original culvert and the extension.

### Considerations:

- Structural adequacy,

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

- Condition of existing culvert (deformations, cracked seams, corrosion),
- Hydraulic adequacy,
- Fish Passage
- Cost (extension, replacement, detours),
- Existing soil conditions (moisture content, corrosion potential).

### **Recommendations:**

A detailed engineering assessment including life cycle costing should be performed prior to extending any culvert. In general, metal culverts should not be extended if any of the following criteria apply:

- The BIM general rating for the barrel is 4 or less,
- The culvert is structurally or hydraulically inadequate,
- The proposed grade raise exceeds 2.0 m,
- The resulting culvert length is greater than deemed acceptable for fish passage.

***Note** Further information on culvert management strategies can be found within the [‘Bridge Management Strategy Guideline’](#)*

## 1.25 LOW LEVEL CROSSINGS

### **Background:**

Under certain conditions, it may be considered appropriate to install a low level crossing. Typically, this would be on extremely low volume traffic roads where loss of roadway use for a short period of time would not be a major inconvenience, or where it is considered uneconomical to replace a bridge for occasional traffic such as land access or seasonal farm equipment.

### **Considerations:**

- Acceptable level of inconvenience,
- Safety,
- Hydrotechnical design parameters,
- Cost,
- Potential for drift and ice,
- Environmental concerns, including fish passage,

### **Recommendations:**

- When feasible for low AADT and non-critical routes, the use of a low level crossing may be considered. Typically land access and temporary crossing situations would fall into this category.
- Low level crossings are to be designed and constructed in accordance with the current version of standard drawings S-1614 or S-1615, as appropriate.

## 1.26 CATTLE PASSES

### Background:

The provision of a cattlepass, (which may sometimes also include accommodation for small vehicles and/or pedestrians), typically forms part of the right-of-way negotiations and agreement. To reach an equitable solution, several factors are considered (size, costs, land severance implications, land values, etc.). Based on the outcome of these considerations and discussions with the landowner, a recommendation as to whether to offer the owner a cattlepass, other benefits, or a cash payout is made by the right of way buyer.

### Considerations:

- Traffic volume,
- Animal volume,
- Frequency of crossing,
- Land severance,
- Right-of-way acquisition,
- Costs
- Stormwater management and highway drainage.

### Recommendations:

- Minimum rise of cattlepass structures should be 2200 mm.
- A concrete floor, to a minimum depth of 150 mm, with a rough textured surface or a compacted granular floor should be considered.
- Length should be determined such that sideslopes terminate at the top of the floor level when no bevel is used.
- Surface water should not pond inside the structure. This could be achieved by setting the inverts slightly above adjacent ground, by longitudinally sloping or crowning the inverts, or by employing ditch drainage when necessary.

## 1.27 OPENING MARKERS

### Background:

Pedestrians or others travelling along the right-of-way may be at risk if the inlet/outlet of a bridge size culvert is concealed by vegetation or snow.

The Attorney General's office has recommended that, to minimize liability, some type of guardrail or fence system be installed when it is known that people will frequently be in the vicinity of a culvert and possibly suffer a serious injury from a fall.

### Considerations:

- Safety,

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

- Proximity to residential or recreational areas,
- Height of cover,
- Liability.

### **Recommendations:**

- Consider installing a marker system and/or warning signs at all culvert sites located within or near residential or recreational areas.
- Provide railings for all pedestrian walkways located immediately adjacent to culvert ends.

## 1.28 CORROSION SURVEY AND SERVICE LIFE PREDICTION

### **Background:**

Corrosion is a natural process that breaks steel down into its constituent components, and can govern the effective service life of steel culverts. A corrosion survey and analysis can be used to identify appropriate material type, thickness, and coating to reach the minimum service life of 50 years.

### **Considerations:**

- Soil resistivity and pH values in the area,
- Existing structure's performance, if applicable,
- Potential for future lining – AADT, height of cover
- Lifecycle costs.

### **Recommendations:**

A corrosion survey should be completed for sites where a bridge-size metal culvert is a likely solution. Corrosion survey and design life estimation shall be carried out by a qualified Corrosion Specialist, at sites known or suspected to have a corrosive environment, with moderate to high traffic volumes and/or a considerable height of cover.

Resistivity and pH values of the soil should be taken on the road sideslopes (each side) and from the upstream and downstream banks. Resistivity and pH values of the water should be taken upstream and downstream of the site. If the existing structure is a metal culvert, static potential reading should be carried out at 3, 6, 9, and 12 o'clock positions at the upstream and downstream ends.

These values can be used with prediction tools to assess the ability of a range of culvert configurations (material type, thickness, and coating) to obtain the expected minimum service life of 50 years. The predicted service life will be the lesser of time to first perforation based on soil side (based on average of soil pH and resistivity values) and water side (based on average of water pH and resistivity values) corrosion. For analysis, a 50 year life may be assumed for

## Design Guidelines for Bridge Size Culverts

metal culverts and a 75 life for concrete culverts.

Tools that can be used to estimate service life for various steel culvert configurations are available in the current version of the CSPI *“Handbook of Steel Drainage & Highway Construction Products – Canadian Version”* ([http://www.cspi.ca/resources/technical-information?keyword=Technical Bulletins](http://www.cspi.ca/resources/technical-information?keyword=Technical%20Bulletins)). Additional information can be found in the AT document [“Best Practice Selection of Culvert Types”](#). If static potential readings are available for the existing pipe, they can be used to estimate the rate at which the existing galvanizing is being consumed, and confirm the predicted life values from these tools.

Guidance on available culvert types can be found in the [AT Products List](#) and section 18 of the [Standard Specifications for Bridge Construction](#). Selection of the optimal culvert configuration that meets the required service life should be based on life cycle economic analysis. Oversizing to allow for future lining may be a cost effective strategy at sites where open-cut replacement would be expensive or require extensive traffic accommodation (high fills, high traffic volume). Cathodic protection systems are not endorsed by the department as service life extension strategies, as they have historically proven to be difficult and costly to maintain/operate.

### 1.29 CULVERT DESIGN PROCESS

See Section 10 and Appendix “J1” of the current version of the [“Engineering Consultant Guidelines for Highway and Bridge Projects – Volume 1”](#) for requirements.

### 1.30 SITE SURVEY REQUIREMENTS

The site survey data is used to assess the water flow characteristics of the existing channel and to determine the location and dimensions of the existing and/or proposed crossing or improvement works. It is necessary that all the survey information gathered be accurate in order to optimize the bridge design. Sufficient information should be collected to enable conceptual design (see section 3.1 of the [Bridge Conceptual Design Guidelines](#)) and contract drawings (see [Engineering Drafting Guidelines for Highway and Bridge Projects](#)).

### 1.31 FREQUENTLY USED STANDARD DRAWINGS

Current standard drawings for bridge size culverts are listed at on the [Bridge Engineering web page](#).